Printed: 5/18/2009

Cottonwood Valley Charter Scho

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS SUMMARY

Cottonwood Valley Charter Scho
AYP Rating: Meets AYP
Improvement Status: Progressing

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute says, "The Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D).

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- -- School Student Demographics
- -- ESEA Accountability by Subgroup
- Statewide 4th and 8th Grade NAEP Assessments
- -- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Grade State Assessments
- -- Data on School Expenditures
- -- Teacher Quality Data
- -- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

2007-2008 STUI	DENT DE	MOGRA	PHICS*	
Cottonwood Valley	Charter S	Scho	STATE	WIDE
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	75	44.1	157911	48.9
Male	95	55.9	165190	51.1
Caucasian	95	55.9	95275	29.5
African-American	3	1.8	8424	2.6
Hispanic	48	28.2	17918	55.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	15	8.8	4441	1.4
American Indian	9	5.3	35780	11.1
English Language Learners	0	0.0	59903	18.5
Students with Disabilities	46	27.1	48691	15.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	n 58	34.1	205601	63.6
Migrant	0	0.0	616	0.2

*Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

	PERCEN	T OF S	TUDEN	rs prof	FICIENT	OR ABC	OVE	American			
School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	ALL Students	Caucasian	African- American	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific	Indian/ Alaskan Native	FRLP	ELL	SWD
COTTONWOOD VALLEY CH	Reading Proficiency	56	61.9	76.9	***	50.0	70.0	***	50.0	***	14.3
COTTONWOOD VALLEY CH	Math Proficiency	38	54.8	64.1	***	46.4	80.0	***	37.5	***	28.6
COTTONWOOD VALLEY CH	Attendance Rate	92	97.5	97.6	***	97.4	98.2	***	97.1	***	97.3

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2007 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade	F	READING	3 (2007)		MA	ГНЕМАТІ	CS (20	07)		SCIENC	CE (200	5)
	Percent	at Each Ac	hievemen	t Level ¹	Percent	at Each Ach	nievemen	t Level ¹	Percent a	t Each Achi	evement	Level ¹
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	5	19	34	42	2	22	46	30	1	16	37	45
Nation	7	24	34	34	5	33	43	19	2	25	39	34

8th Grade	R	EADING	(2007)		MAT	HEMATI	CS (200	07)		SCIENC	E (2005	5)
	Percent	at Each Ad	chievemer	nt Level1	Percent	t at Each Ac	hievemer	nt Level ¹	Percent a	t Each Achi	evement	Level ¹
				Below				Below				Below
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic
New Mexico	1	17	45	38	3	15	39	43	1	17	28	54
Nation	2	27	43	27	7	24	39	30	3	24	30	43

Basic is defined as partial mastery. Proficient is solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter and Advanced is superior performance

State Assessment Results for Charter School

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading, Mathematics and Science in the 3rd through 8th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2007-08 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2008. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grad	ie	R	EADI	NG				MAI	HEN	<u>//ATIC</u>	S				SCIE	NCE		
Reporting			rcent at l	Each Pr	oficiency	Level		Perc	ent at E	Each Prof	ficiency	Level		Perce	nt at Ea	ch Profic	ciency Le	evel
<u> </u>	Percent Participati			Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency		Percent Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advanced	Proficient F	Nearing proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step
Female	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***
Male	100	11	0	82	0	18	100	11	18	64	18	0	100	11	36	64	0	0
Caucasian	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD^2	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
$FRLP^4$	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	95	20	5	55	15	20	100	20	10	50	35	5	95	20	25	65	5	0
All 2006-07	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	99	24,878	11	48	27	14	99	24,878	7	37	48	8	99	24,868	12	68	19	1
NM2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	99	24,320	11	68	20	1

^{1 -} includes Alaskan Natives 2 - SWD - Students with Disabilities 3 - ELL - English Language Learners 4-Economically Disadvantaged

Basic is most comparable to the Proficiency level on the Standards Based Assessment

State Assessments Results for Charter School

4rd Grad	е	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HEM	IATIC	S			S	CIEN	ICE		
Reporting			cent at E	ach Pro	ficiency	Level					oficiency			Perce	nt at Ea	ch Profi	ciency L	evel
Group	Percent Participatii	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number g Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number g Tested A	dvanced F	Proficient P	Nearing B	eginning Step
Female	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Male	92	12	0	50	33	8	100	12	8	50	33	8	100	12	0	83	17	0
Caucasian	92	13	8	62	23	0	100	13	31	38	23	8	100	13	8	77	15	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	90	20	10	40	35	5	100	20	25	35	35	5	100	20	10	70	20	0
All 2006-07	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	99	24,364	8	43	34	15	99	24,367	7	32	52	8	99	24,357	2	48	45	4
NM2006-07	99	24,231	10	44	33	12	99	24,237	11	35	45	9	99	24,226	3	52	40	4

5th Grac	e	R	EAD	NG				MAT	HEN	IATIC	S			(SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting			ent at E	ach Pr	oficiency	Level		Perd	ent at E	Each Prof	ficiency l	Level		Perc	ent at Ea	ch Profi	ciency L	_evel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient F	Nearing Be Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advanced I	Proficient P	Nearing Be	eginning Step
Female	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Male	91	11	18	45	27	0	100	11	18	45	36	0	100	11	9	64	27	0
Caucasian	100	10	20	50	20	10	100	10	10	60	30	0	100	10	0	70	30	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	95	19	21	42	26	5	100	19	11	53	37	0	100	19	5	53	42	0
All 2006-07	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	99	24,274	11	45	35	8	99	24,274	9	32	48	12	99	24,270	4	43	49	4
NM2006-07	99	23,998	14	45	32	9	99	24,013	7	29	51	12	99	23,998	3	41	51	5

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

State Assessments Results for Charter School

6th Grad	le	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HEM	1ATIC	S			9	SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting		Per	cent at E	Each Pro	,			Per	cent at	Each Pro	oficiency	Level		Perc	ent at Ea	ch Profi	ciency L	evel
	Percent Participatin	Number 9 Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing ^E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percen Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advance	d Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced I	Proficient p	Nearing Be	eginning Step
Female	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Male	100	11	9	73	18	0	100	11	27	9	64	0	100	11	27	45	27	0
Caucasian	100	11	0	82	18	0	100	11	9	45	45	0	100	11	18	64	18	0
Black	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	19	5	74	21	0	100	19	16	26	58	0	100	19	21	47	32	0
All 2006-07	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	99	23,666	6	37	46	11	99	23,666	5	23	58	13	99	23,653	3	28	56	13
NM2006-07	99	24,110	5	33	48	15	99	24,119	5	22	52	21	99	24,115	2	32	53	12

7th Grac	le	R	EADI	NG				MA	ГНЕМ	1ATIC	S			Ç	SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting		Per	cent at	Each Pro	•			Perc	ent at E	ach Prof	iciency L	evel		Perce	ent at Ea	ch Profic	iency L	evel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number 9 Tested	Advanced	l Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number	Advanced	Proficient I	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent	t Number ing Tested	Advanced	Proficient p	Nearing Be	eginning Sten
Female	90	10	10	50	30	0	100	10	20	30	50	0	100	10	0	80	20	0
Male	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***
Caucasian	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***
Black	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	95	19	5	53	32	5	100	19	16	37	42	5	100	19	5	74	21	0
All 2006-07	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	99	23,964	8	43	37	12	95	23,964	6	24	51	18	99	23,954	2	31	51	15
NM2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	99	24,654	2	28	51	18

8th Grad	е	RI	EADII	١G				MAT	HEM	ATIC	S			(SCIEN	NCE		
Reporting		Perd	cent at E	ach Profi	-						iciency L			Perce	nt at Ead	ch Profic	ciency Le	evel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number 9 Tested		Proficient P	Nearing I roficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	t Numbering Tested	Advanced	Proficient I	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percen Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B	eginning Step
Female	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
Male	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
Caucasian	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
Asian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD^2	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	14	0	71	21	7	100	14	14	43	36	7	100	14	0	71	29	0
All 2006-07	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	29	7	99	24,579	7	30	49	14	99	24,564	1	25	58	15
NM2006-07		25,103	3	53	34	10	99	25,102	5	25	50	19	99	25,083	1	23	62	14

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

11th Gra	ide	R	EADIN	G				MA	THEM	ATICS	3			(SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting			cent at Ead		•			Perd	ent at Ea	ach Profic	ciency I	_evel		Percei	nt at Eac	h Profici	ency Le	vel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number og Tested	Advanced Pr	noficient Pro	Nearing B oficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participatir	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient P	Nearing E	Beginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number	Advanced	Proficient P	Nearing Be	ginning Step
Female																		
Male																		
Caucasian																		
Black																		
Hispanic																		
Asian																		
Am.Indian1																		
SWD ²																		
ELL ³																		
FRLP ⁴																		
Migrant																		
All 2007-08																		
All 2006-07																		
NM2007-08 NM2006-07	98 98	20,363 19,936		44 41	36 36	12 15	98 97	20,365 19,939		25 25	40 44	25 22	96	20,104	2	32	55	7

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

Data on School Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2007-2008

	Expenditure	Percent	
Direct Instruction	768,656		71
Instructional Support Services	312,859		29
Students	57,018	18	
Instruction	2,323	1	
General Administration	2,709	1	
School Administration	110,376	35	
Central Services	63,040	20	
Operations & Maintenance	77,393	25	
Student Transportation	0	0	
Non-Instructional Support	3,650		<1
Food Services	3,650	100	
Community Services	0	0	
Capital Outlay	0		0
Total Expeditures	1,085,165		

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency	STATE WIDE: 0.14%	School:	0.00%	
	Core Classes not taught t	by Highly Qualified Teachers SCHOOL		
High Poverty Schools	5.0%	N/A		
Low Poverty Schools	4.2%	N/A		

	Number of teachers	i didditt di todditolo milolo	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*
STATE WIDE	22,391	58.5%	41.6%	4.5%

53.8

46.2

Teacher Quality Data*

COTTONWOOD VALLEY CH

^{*} See explanation of data source on last page.

^{*** =} missing or not available

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number	SA=Strongly Agree A=Ag			ree D	e D=Disagree SD=Strong			Disagree	K=Do	=Do not know O=No Opinion			
	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	19582	34540	3417	1294	2665	1509	31.1	54.8	5.4	2.1	4.2	2.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	17719	33550	5878	2304	2385	1173	28.1	53.2	9.3	3.7	3.8	1.9
STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	21424	33361	3469	1157	2215	1383	34.0	52.9	5.5	1.8	3.5	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	21186	31955	5073	1664	1409	1722	33.6	50.7	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	17564	32541	3882	1388	6237	1398	27.9	51.6	6.2	2.2	9.9	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	18032	33792	4592	2096	3031	1467	28.6	53.6	7.3	3.3	4.8	2.3
STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	13947	30216	8112	2907	5314	2515	22.1	48.0	12.9	4.6	8.4	4.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	25857	29653	3433	1446	952	1669	41.0	47.1	5.4	2.3	1.5	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	21851	33306	4095	1156	1027	1574	34.7	52.9	6.5	1.8	1.6	2.5
COTTONWOOD VALLEY CH	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

SWD- Students with Disabilities.

Elementary & Secondary Act District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the STARS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2007-08 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2007-08, highly qualified teacher report generated on 2/18/2009.

Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. ESEA requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%).

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).

Printed: 5/18/2009