



SCHOOL DISTRICT REPORT CARD FOR 2007-08 SCHOOL YEAR

HATCH VALLEY MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS SUMMARY

HATCH VALLEY MUNICIPAL SCHOOLS

AYP Rating: AYP Not Met

Improvement Status: SI-2

	Total Number	Percent
Schools rated in district	5	100
Schools in School Improvement	2	40
Schools in Corrective Action	1	20
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- District Student Demographics
- ESEA District Summary
- ESEA Accountability by Subgroup
- 4th and 8th Grade NAEP Assessments
- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Grade State Assessments
- School Board Member Participation
- Data on District Expenditures
- Teacher Quality Data
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute says, "The Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D).

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

2007-2008 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS*

	HATCH VALLEY MUNICIPAL		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	693	49.9	157911	48.9
Male	695	50.1	165190	51.1
Caucasian	142	10.2	95275	29.5
African-American	0	0.0	8424	2.6
Hispanic	1243	89.6	17918	55.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0	4441	1.4
American Indian	3	0.2	35780	11.1
English Language Learners	686	49.4	59903	18.5
Students with Disabilities	160	11.5	48691	15.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	1388	100.0	205601	63.6
Migrant	9	0.6	616	0.2

*Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

Adequate Yearly Progress**STATE ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)**

School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	AYP Not Met	SI-2	HATCH VALLEY ELEM	Meets AYP	Progressing
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	AYP Not Met	SI-2	HATCH VALLEY MIDDLE	AYP Not Met	CA
RIO GRANDE ELEM	AYP Not Met	Progressing			

ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	PERCENT OF STUDENTS PROFICIENT OR ABOVE							FRLP	ELL	SWD
			ALL Students	Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	American Indian/Alaskan Native				
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency	59	42.8	80.7	***	38.2	***	***	42.8	33.0	22.2	
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency	53	34.4	71.8	***	28.6	***	***	34.4	26.1	<2.0	
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency	56	35.4	80.0	***	27.7	***	***	35.4	21.3	<2.0	
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	33.8	***	***	33.8	***	***	33.8	23.3	***	
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	Reading Proficiency	59	59.7	***	***	54.0	***	***	59.7	49.1	***	
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	36.4	86.7	***	27.7	***	***	36.4	21.3	<2.0	
HATCH VALLEY MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	53	34.4	71.8	***	28.6	***	***	34.4	26.1	<2.0	
RIO GRANDE ELEM	Reading Proficiency	59	46.6	85.7	***	40.3	***	***	46.6	37.3	25.0	
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency	44	35.4	74.2	***	30.7	***	***	35.4	26.9	16.7	
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency	35	20.3	43.6	***	16.7	***	***	20.3	15.6	5.3	
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency	40	22.2	53.3	***	16.9	***	***	22.2	19.7	<2.0	
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	29.9	***	***	29.7	***	***	29.9	25.0	***	
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	Math Proficiency	44	51.4	***	***	44.4	***	***	51.4	40.0	***	
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	Math Proficiency	40	22.2	53.3	***	16.9	***	***	22.2	19.7	<2.0	
HATCH VALLEY MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	35	20.3	43.6	***	16.7	***	***	20.3	15.6	5.3	
RIO GRANDE ELEM	Math Proficiency	44	37.8	78.6	***	31.3	***	***	37.8	28.0	16.7	
All Students-Grade K-5	Attendance Rate	92	94.6	92.2	***	94.9	***	***	94.6	94.9	93.9	
All Students-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Attendance Rate	92	96.0	95.6	***	96.0	***	***	96.0	96.3	94.5	
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	94.0	***	***	94.1	***	***	94.0	94.0	***	
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	97.3	***	***	97.4	***	***	97.3	98.5	***	
HATCH VALLEY MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	96.0	95.6	***	96.0	***	***	96.0	96.3	94.5	
RIO GRANDE ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	94.4	91.9	***	94.8	***	***	94.4	94.9	92.7	
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	90	.0	.0	***	.0	***	***	.0	.0	.0	
ACE ALT COMPLEX EDU	Graduation Rate	90	10.0	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	.0	.0	***	.0	***	***	.0	.0	.0	

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard>.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2007 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade	READING (2007)				MATHEMATICS (2007)				SCIENCE (2005)			
	Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹			
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	5	19	34	42	2	22	46	30	1	16	37	45
Nation	7	24	34	34	5	33	43	19	2	25	39	34

8th Grade	READING (2007)				MATHEMATICS (2007)				SCIENCE (2005)			
	Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹			
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	1	17	45	38	3	15	39	43	1	17	28	54
Nation	2	27	43	27	7	24	39	30	3	24	30	43

¹ Basic is defined as partial mastery, Proficient is solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter and Advanced is superior performance

² Basic is most comparable to the Proficiency level on the Standards Based Assessment

State Assessment Results for District (excluding charter schools)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading, Mathematics and Science in the 3rd through 8th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2007-08 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2008. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grade	READING						MATHEMATICS						SCIENCE					
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	98	52	13	50	27	8	98	52	8	44	40	6	98	52	8	58	33	0
Male	98	55	9	40	31	18	98	55	4	38	40	16	100	55	9	49	36	5
Caucasian	100	11	55	36	0	9	100	11	36	55	9	0	100	11	64	27	9	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	98	96	6	46	32	14	98	96	2	40	44	13	99	96	2	56	38	3
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	98	81	5	43	33	16	98	81	1	38	44	14	99	81	1	51	43	4
FRLP ⁴	98	107	11	45	29	13	98	107	6	41	40	11	99	107	8	53	35	3
Migrant	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	98	107	11	45	29	13	98	107	6	41	40	11	99	107	8	53	35	3
All 2006-07	100	100	6	41	30	23	99	100	5	30	54	10	99	100	15	57	24	3
NM2007-08	99	24,878	11	48	27	14	99	24,878	7	37	48	8	99	24,868	12	68	19	1
NM2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	99	24,320	11	68	20	1

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ - Economically Disadvantaged

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

4rd Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	98	50	6	32	42	18	100	50	0	28	56	16	98	50	2	20	66	10
Male	98	48	4	31	35	27	100	48	6	23	54	17	100	48	0	33	52	15
Caucasian	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	98	91	4	29	41	24	100	91	2	23	57	18	99	91	0	24	62	13
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	99	72	0	24	47	28	100	72	0	19	63	18	99	72	0	14	71	14
FRLP ⁴	98	98	5	32	39	22	100	98	3	26	55	16	99	98	1	27	59	12
Migrant	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	98	98	5	32	39	22	100	98	3	26	55	16	99	98	1	27	59	12
All 2006-07	100	97	5	27	42	26	99	97	3	26	61	9	100	97	2	38	49	10
NM2007-08	99	24,364	8	43	34	15	99	24,367	7	32	52	8	99	24,357	2	48	45	4
NM2006-07	99	24,231	10	44	33	12	99	24,237	11	35	45	9	99	24,226	3	52	40	4

5th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	44	14	25	55	7	100	44	7	23	45	25	100	44	0	27	61	11
Male	100	51	4	25	45	25	100	51	2	25	49	24	100	51	2	24	55	20
Caucasian	100	14	36	36	21	7	100	14	14	50	29	7	100	14	7	43	43	7
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	81	4	23	54	19	100	81	2	20	51	27	100	81	0	22	60	17
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	100	67	4	19	55	21	100	67	3	16	52	28	100	67	0	21	61	18
FRLP ⁴	100	95	8	25	49	17	100	95	4	24	47	24	100	95	1	25	58	16
Migrant	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	95	8	25	49	17	100	95	4	24	47	24	100	95	1	25	58	16
All 2006-07	100	98	12	33	42	13	100	98	2	15	57	26	100	98	2	38	50	10
NM2007-08	99	24,274	11	45	35	8	99	24,274	9	32	48	12	99	24,270	4	43	49	4
NM2006-07	99	23,998	14	45	32	9	99	24,013	7	29	51	12	99	23,998	3	41	51	5

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ - Economically Disadvantaged

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

6th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	51	0	27	57	16	100	51	2	22	59	18	100	51	0	24	63	14
Male	100	51	0	20	41	39	100	51	4	22	57	18	100	51	0	25	45	29
Caucasian	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***	***	9	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	93	0	19	52	29	100	93	2	19	59	19	100	93	0	22	55	24
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	100	78	0	21	47	32	100	78	1	22	56	21	100	78	0	22	51	27
FRLP ⁴	100	102	0	24	49	27	100	102	3	22	58	18	100	102	0	25	54	22
Migrant	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	102	0	24	49	27	100	102	3	22	58	18	100	102	0	25	54	22
All 2006-07	100	107	1	24	50	25	99	107	4	23	50	21	98	107	0	22	50	26
NM2007-08	99	23,666	6	37	46	11	99	23,666	5	23	58	13	99	23,653	3	28	56	13
NM2006-07	99	24,110	5	33	48	15	99	24,119	5	22	52	21	99	24,115	2	32	53	12

7th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	58	2	40	36	22	100	58	2	28	53	17	100	58	0	16	60	24
Male	100	40	5	15	45	35	100	40	0	8	60	33	100	40	0	20	53	28
Caucasian	100	14	14	64	14	7	100	14	0	50	50	0	100	14	0	50	43	7
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	84	1	24	44	31	100	84	1	14	57	27	100	84	0	12	60	29
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	100	11	0	0	18	82	100	11	0	0	27	73	100	11	0	9	18	73
ELL ³	100	66	2	18	42	38	100	66	0	15	53	32	100	66	0	9	55	36
FRLP ⁴	100	98	3	30	40	28	100	98	1	19	56	23	100	98	0	17	57	26
Migrant	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	98	3	30	40	28	100	98	1	19	56	23	100	98	0	17	57	26
All 2006-07	100	118	4	41	38	17	100	118	1	10	59	30	100	118	0	15	59	25
NM2007-08	99	23,964	8	43	37	12	95	23,964	6	24	51	18	99	23,954	2	31	51	15
NM2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	99	24,654	2	28	51	18

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

8th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	98	65	0	45	45	9	98	65	0	17	57	25	98	65	0	12	66	20
Male	100	47	0	38	47	15	100	47	2	13	62	23	100	47	0	9	70	21
Caucasian	100	16	0	69	25	6	100	16	6	25	56	13	100	16	0	44	44	13
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	99	96	0	38	49	13	99	96	0	14	59	26	99	96	0	5	72	22
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	100	79	0	34	52	14	100	79	0	10	59	30	100	79	0	6	68	25
FRLP ⁴	99	112	0	42	46	12	99	112	1	15	59	24	99	112	0	11	68	21
Migrant	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	99	112	0	42	46	12	99	112	1	15	59	24	99	112	0	11	68	21
All 2006-07	100	106	2	31	43	24	98	106	0	10	50	38	100	106	0	14	58	27
NM2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	29	7	99	24,579	7	30	49	14	99	24,564	1	25	58	15
NM2006-07	99	25,103	3	53	34	10	99	25,102	5	25	50	19	99	25,083	1	23	62	14

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ - Economically Disadvantaged

11th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	49	2	35	61	2	98	49	2	18	45	33	100	49	0	10	88	2
Male	97	58	3	28	43	22	97	58	7	16	33	41	98	58	3	14	71	10
Caucasian	93	15	13	67	13	0	93	15	20	33	33	7	100	15	13	40	47	0
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	99	91	1	25	57	15	98	91	2	14	40	42	99	91	0	8	84	8
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	92	13	0	0	46	46	85	13	0	0	8	77	92	13	0	0	69	23
ELL ³	98	64	0	22	59	17	97	64	2	17	36	42	98	64	0	6	84	8
FRLP ⁴	98	107	3	31	51	13	97	107	5	17	38	37	99	107	2	12	79	7
Migrant	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	98	107	3	31	51	13	97	107	5	17	38	37	99	107	2	12	79	7
All 2006-07	100	108	0	26	53	21	97	108	3	6	52	36	***	0	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	98	20,363	6	44	36	12	98	20,365	9	25	40	25	96	20,104	2	32	55	7
NM2006-07	98	19,936	7	41	36	15	97	19,939	7	25	44	22						

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ - Economically Disadvantaged

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Adams, Scott	0
Bouvet, Nellie	7
Castillo, Lupe	1
Franzoy, David	6
Hackey, Don	1

Source: 2007-08 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

Data on School Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2007-2008

	Expenditure	Percent
Direct Instruction	5,901,114	56
Instructional Support Services	4,514,871	43
Students	912,780	20
Instruction	622,143	14
General Administration	325,526	7
School Administration	496,620	11
Central Services	278,308	6
Operations & Maintenance	1,879,494	42
Student Transportation	0	0
Non-Instructional Support	0	0
Food Services	0	0
Community Services	0	0
Capital Outlay	135,744	1
Total Expenditures	10,551,729	

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 0.14% DISTRICT: 0.00%

	Core Classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	
	STATEWIDE	DISTRICT
High Poverty Schools	5.0%	3.6%
Low Poverty Schools	4.2%	N/A

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*
STATE WIDE	22,391	58.5%	41.6%	4.5%
DISTRICT WIDE	92	59.8	40.2	3.6
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	14	64.3	35.7	20.0
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	17	52.9	47.1	5.9
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	29	62.1	37.9	1.6
HATCH VALLEY MIDDLE	20	50.0	50.0	0.0
RIO GRANDE ELEM	16	62.5	37.5	0.0

* See explanation of data source on last page.

Note: Difference in teacher totals and school totals may occur because of district assignments.

*** = missing or not available

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	19582	34540	3417	1294	2665	1509	31.1	54.8	5.4	2.1	4.2	2.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	17719	33550	5878	2304	2385	1173	28.1	53.2	9.3	3.7	3.8	1.9
STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	21424	33361	3469	1157	2215	1383	34.0	52.9	5.5	1.8	3.5	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	21186	31955	5073	1664	1409	1722	33.6	50.7	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	17564	32541	3882	1388	6237	1398	27.9	51.6	6.2	2.2	9.9	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	18032	33792	4592	2096	3031	1467	28.6	53.6	7.3	3.3	4.8	2.3
STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	13947	30216	8112	2907	5314	2515	22.1	48.0	12.9	4.6	8.4	4.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	25857	29653	3433	1446	952	1669	41.0	47.1	5.4	2.3	1.5	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	9	18353	34063	3889	1619	3788	1671	29.0	53.7	6.1	2.6	6.0	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	21851	33306	4095	1156	1027	1574	34.7	52.9	6.5	1.8	1.6	2.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	1	162	306	23	5	33	16	29.7	56.1	4.2	0.9	6.1	2.9
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	2	247	275	4	4	10	5	45.3	50.5	0.7	0.7	1.8	0.9
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	3	153	309	22	8	41	12	28.1	56.7	4.0	1.5	7.5	2.2
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	4	171	307	23	7	18	19	31.4	56.3	4.2	1.3	3.3	3.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	5	171	302	9	8	48	7	31.4	55.4	1.7	1.5	8.8	1.3
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	6	172	301	21	6	35	10	31.6	55.2	3.9	1.1	6.4	1.8
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	7	127	265	33	17	73	30	23.3	48.6	6.1	3.1	13.4	5.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	8	224	287	13	3	14	4	41.1	52.7	2.4	0.6	2.6	0.7
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	9	145	308	29	5	48	10	26.6	56.5	5.3	0.9	8.8	1.8
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	10	181	287	32	10	17	18	33.2	52.7	5.9	1.8	3.1	3.3
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	1	26	52	5	0	9	2	27.7	55.3	5.3	0.0	9.6	2.1
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	2	35	52	0	3	2	2	37.2	55.3	0.0	3.2	2.1	2.1
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	3	21	44	7	5	12	5	22.3	46.8	7.4	5.3	12.8	5.3
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	4	31	50	2	5	1	5	33.0	53.2	2.1	5.3	1.1	5.3
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	5	19	55	1	2	14	3	20.2	58.5	1.1	2.1	14.9	3.2
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	6	24	58	0	1	7	4	25.5	61.7	0.0	1.1	7.4	4.3

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	7	16	48	3	5	15	7	17.0	51.1	3.2	5.3	16.0	7.4
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	8	41	45	2	1	4	1	43.6	47.9	2.1	1.1	4.3	1.1
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	9	20	52	5	2	13	2	21.3	55.3	5.3	2.1	13.8	2.1
GARFIELD ELEMENTARY	10	23	48	11	5	3	4	24.5	51.1	11.7	5.3	3.2	4.3
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	1	50	80	4	0	7	3	34.7	55.6	2.8	0.0	4.9	2.1
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	2	88	53	2	1	0	0	61.1	36.8	1.4	0.7	0.0	0.0
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	3	49	80	7	1	5	2	34.0	55.6	4.9	0.7	3.5	1.4
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	4	56	80	5	0	2	1	38.9	55.6	3.5	0.0	1.4	0.7
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	5	56	74	4	2	8	0	38.9	51.4	2.8	1.4	5.6	0.0
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	6	57	68	10	1	8	0	39.6	47.2	6.9	0.7	5.6	0.0
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	7	43	62	10	5	13	11	29.9	43.1	6.9	3.5	9.0	7.6
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	8	69	68	3	1	3	0	47.9	47.2	2.1	0.7	2.1	0.0
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	9	51	76	8	0	5	4	35.4	52.8	5.6	0.0	3.5	2.8
HATCH VALLEY ELEM	10	50	74	6	2	4	8	34.7	51.4	4.2	1.4	2.8	5.6
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	1	32	78	9	2	10	6	23.4	56.9	6.6	1.5	7.3	4.4
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	2	53	77	1	0	4	2	38.7	56.2	0.7	0.0	2.9	1.5
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	3	27	89	4	2	11	4	19.7	65.0	2.9	1.5	8.0	2.9
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	4	25	76	12	2	11	11	18.2	55.5	8.8	1.5	8.0	8.0
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	5	39	79	3	3	11	2	28.5	57.7	2.2	2.2	8.0	1.5
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	6	29	80	8	3	11	6	21.2	58.4	5.8	2.2	8.0	4.4
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	7	29	82	5	3	15	3	21.2	59.9	3.6	2.2	10.9	2.2
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	8	32	89	8	1	6	1	23.4	65.0	5.8	0.7	4.4	0.7
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	9	22	85	11	3	15	1	16.1	62.0	8.0	2.2	10.9	0.7
HATCH VALLEY HIGH	10	54	71	5	2	2	3	39.4	51.8	3.6	1.5	1.5	2.2
HATCH VALLEY MIDDLE	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
RIO GRANDE ELEM	1	54	96	5	3	7	5	31.8	56.5	2.9	1.8	4.1	2.9

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
RIO GRANDE ELEM	2	71	93	1	0	4	1	41.8	54.7	0.6	0.0	2.4	0.6
RIO GRANDE ELEM	3	56	96	4	0	13	1	32.9	56.5	2.4	0.0	7.6	0.6
RIO GRANDE ELEM	4	59	101	4	0	4	2	34.7	59.4	2.4	0.0	2.4	1.2
RIO GRANDE ELEM	5	57	94	1	1	15	2	33.5	55.3	0.6	0.6	8.8	1.2
RIO GRANDE ELEM	6	62	95	3	1	9	0	36.5	55.9	1.8	0.6	5.3	0.0
RIO GRANDE ELEM	7	39	73	15	4	30	9	22.9	42.9	8.8	2.4	17.6	5.3
RIO GRANDE ELEM	8	82	85	0	0	1	2	48.2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2
RIO GRANDE ELEM	9	52	95	5	0	15	3	30.6	55.9	2.9	0.0	8.8	1.8
RIO GRANDE ELEM	10	54	94	10	1	8	3	31.8	55.3	5.9	0.6	4.7	1.8

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

SWD- Students with Disabilities.

Elementary & Secondary Act District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the STARS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2007-08 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2007-08, highly qualified teacher report generated on 2/18/2009.

Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. ESEA requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%).

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).