



ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS SUMMARY

LOVINGTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

AYP Rating: Meets AYP

Improvement Status: SI-2 delay

	Total Number	Percent
Schools rated in district	9	100
Schools in School Improvement	4	44
Schools in Corrective Action	2	22
Schools in Restructuring	2	22

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- District Student Demographics
- ESEA District Summary
- ESEA Accountability by Subgroup
- 4th and 8th Grade NAEP Assessments
- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Grade State Assessments
- School Board Member Participation
- Data on District Expenditures
- Teacher Quality Data
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute says, "The Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D).

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

2007-2008 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS*

	LOVINGTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	1527	48.6	157911	48.9
Male	1615	51.4	165190	51.1
Caucasian	851	27.1	95275	29.5
African-American	67	2.1	8424	2.6
Hispanic	2201	70.1	17918	55.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	0.4	4441	1.4
American Indian	11	0.4	35780	11.1
English Language Learners	564	18.0	59903	18.5
Students with Disabilities	539	17.2	48691	15.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	1695	53.9	205601	63.6
Migrant	43	1.4	616	0.2

*Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

Adequate Yearly Progress**STATE ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)**

School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	Meets AYP	SI-2 delay	JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	Meets AYP	SI-2 delay
LEA ELEMENTARY	Meets AYP	SI-2 delay	LLANO ELEMENTARY	Meets AYP	SI-2 delay
LOVINGTON HIGH	Meets AYP	Progressing	LOVINGTON JR HIGH	AYP Not Met	R-1
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	AYP Not Met	CA	TAYLOR MIDDLE	AYP Not Met	R-1
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	AYP Not Met	CA			

ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	PERCENT OF STUDENTS PROFICIENT OR ABOVE							FRLP	ELL	SWD
			ALL Students	Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	American Indian/Alaskan Native				
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency	59	52.9	70.2	35.3	47.5	***	***	46.3	37.6	6.0	
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency	53	52.0	67.7	27.8	47.1	***	***	46.4	25.6	16.7	
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency	56	51.2	52.3	***	51.3	***	***	37.2	27.3	10.0	
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	Reading Proficiency	59	61.9	77.1	***	58.1	***	***	58.5	42.3	4.4	
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	61.9	77.1	***	58.1	***	***	58.5	42.3	4.4	
LEA ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	61.9	77.1	***	58.1	***	***	58.5	42.3	4.4	
LLANO ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	61.9	77.1	***	58.1	***	***	58.5	42.3	4.4	
LOVINGTON HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	54.3	53.7	***	54.1	***	***	42.1	27.3	10.0	
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	61.2	70.0	***	58.7	***	***	60.9	27.5	21.1	
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
TAYLOR MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	53	55.3	71.4	***	51.0	***	***	46.7	26.3	15.8	
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	47.8	67.0	***	41.1	***	***	38.6	35.1	6.5	
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency	44	29.7	41.7	5.9	26.9	***	***	25.4	21.2	3.0	
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency	35	31.3	44.1	5.6	27.6	***	***	25.1	11.3	13.0	
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency	40	33.6	45.5	***	28.2	***	***	27.9	<2.0	<2.0	
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	Math Proficiency	44	41.3	52.1	***	39.5	***	***	38.6	28.9	<2.0	
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	41.3	52.1	***	39.5	***	***	38.6	28.9	<2.0	
LEA ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	41.3	52.1	***	39.5	***	***	38.6	28.9	<2.0	
LLANO ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	41.3	52.1	***	39.5	***	***	38.6	28.9	<2.0	
LOVINGTON HIGH	Math Proficiency	40	36.2	48.8	***	29.7	***	***	31.6	<2.0	<2.0	
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	Math Proficiency	37	32.8	42.0	***	30.8	***	***	25.0	20.0	15.8	
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	Math Proficiency	40	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
TAYLOR MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	35	45.2	60.7	***	40.7	***	***	36.9	15.8	21.1	
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	23.3	36.9	***	19.6	***	***	17.2	17.7	4.4	
All Students-Grade K-5	Attendance Rate	92	94.4	93.1	93.8	94.9	***	***	94.6	95.4	93.0	
All Students-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Attendance Rate	92	96.2	95.5	93.4	96.7	***	***	95.9	96.7	94.5	
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	95.0	95.0	***	95.0	***	***	95.0	94.4	95.2	
HOMEBOUND/HOSPITAL	Attendance Rate	92	.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	94.9	94.8	***	95.1	***	***	94.9	95.9	94.0	
LEA COUNTY JD CTR	Attendance Rate	92	.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
LEA ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	94.1	93.5	***	94.3	***	***	94.6	94.6	92.7	
LLANO ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	93.9	94.6	***	93.7	***	***	93.7	94.2	94.2	
TAYLOR MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	96.5	95.6	***	96.9	***	***	96.3	97.1	94.7	
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	94.1	92.3	***	94.7	***	***	94.5	95.2	92.5	
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	90	82.2	91.5	***	76.9	***	***	75.7	70.6	94.4	

ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	PERCENT OF STUDENTS PROFICIENT OR ABOVE								
			ALL Students	Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	American Indian/Alaskan Native	FRLP	ELL	SWD
LOVINGTON HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	87.9	94.8	***	82.6	***	***	82.9	66.7	100.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	.	.	***	.	***	***	.	.	.
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	65.7	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard>.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2007 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade	READING (2007)				MATHEMATICS (2007)				SCIENCE (2005)			
	Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹			
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	5	19	34	42	2	22	46	30	1	16	37	45
Nation	7	24	34	34	5	33	43	19	2	25	39	34

8th Grade	READING (2007)				MATHEMATICS (2007)				SCIENCE (2005)			
	Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹				Percent at Each Achievement Level ¹			
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	1	17	45	38	3	15	39	43	1	17	28	54
Nation	2	27	43	27	7	24	39	30	3	24	30	43

¹ Basic is defined as partial mastery, Proficient is solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter and Advanced is superior performance

² Basic is most comparable to the Proficiency level on the Standards Based Assessment

State Assessment Results for District (excluding charter schools)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading, Mathematics and Science in the 3rd through 8th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2007-08 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2008. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grade	READING						MATHEMATICS						SCIENCE					
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	99	124	8	60	19	12	100	124	6	42	43	9	99	124	6	69	23	2
Male	100	132	3	51	33	14	100	132	2	33	57	9	99	132	11	63	26	0
Caucasian	98	58	10	66	16	7	100	58	10	43	40	7	98	58	17	64	14	3
Black	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	189	4	52	30	14	100	189	2	36	52	10	99	189	5	68	26	0
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	96	24	0	4	17	75	100	24	0	0	42	58	96	24	0	21	67	8
ELL ³	100	63	3	38	38	21	100	63	0	27	62	11	100	63	5	56	40	0
FRLP ⁴	99	192	4	54	28	15	100	192	3	35	52	11	99	192	7	65	27	0
Migrant	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	256	5	55	26	13	100	256	4	37	50	9	99	256	8	66	24	1
All 2006-07	100	234	2	46	35	17	100	234	1	34	53	12	100	234	9	59	31	1
NM2007-08	99	24,878	11	48	27	14	99	24,878	7	37	48	8	99	24,868	12	68	19	1
NM2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	99	24,320	11	68	20	1

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ - Economically Disadvantaged

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

6th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	99	104	6	38	44	12	99	104	0	17	63	18	99	104	6	25	57	12
Male	97	114	1	29	51	17	99	114	1	9	67	23	99	114	2	28	56	13
Caucasian	97	65	8	46	37	6	97	65	2	23	69	3	97	65	9	35	48	5
Black	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	99	145	1	28	53	17	100	145	0	9	65	26	100	145	1	23	61	14
Asian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	100	17	0	12	6	82	100	17	0	0	35	65	100	17	0	6	53	41
ELL ³	98	61	0	23	57	18	100	61	0	2	67	31	100	61	0	18	62	20
FRLP ⁴	98	119	3	29	48	18	99	119	0	10	61	28	99	119	3	22	58	16
Migrant	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	98	218	3	33	48	14	99	218	0	13	65	21	99	218	4	27	56	12
All 2006-07	100	233	2	35	50	14	100	233	2	20	55	23	100	233	2	30	58	11
NM2007-08	99	23,666	6	37	46	11	99	23,666	5	23	58	13	99	23,653	3	28	56	13
NM2006-07	99	24,110	5	33	48	15	99	24,119	5	22	52	21	99	24,115	2	32	53	12

7th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	99	109	7	57	29	6	99	109	6	44	41	8	99	109	1	45	49	5
Male	98	125	6	42	35	16	98	125	5	33	46	14	98	125	3	37	44	14
Caucasian	99	68	10	59	25	4	99	68	9	49	35	6	99	68	6	54	32	6
Black	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	99	161	5	46	34	14	98	161	4	35	46	14	98	161	1	35	52	10
Asian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	92	25	4	12	32	44	92	25	4	16	36	36	92	25	4	20	40	28
ELL ³	100	40	3	25	48	25	100	40	3	13	60	25	100	40	0	15	68	18
FRLP ⁴	98	135	4	44	39	10	97	135	4	33	48	12	97	135	1	33	52	10
Migrant	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	99	234	6	49	32	11	98	234	5	38	44	11	98	234	2	41	46	9
All 2006-07	100	234	8	44	37	10	99	234	4	19	53	23	99	233	3	29	48	18
NM2007-08	99	23,964	8	43	37	12	95	23,964	6	24	51	18	99	23,954	2	31	51	15
NM2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	99	24,654	2	28	51	18

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

8th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	107	4	61	28	7	100	107	4	28	54	14	100	107	1	21	62	17
Male	99	117	2	52	41	4	100	117	3	26	57	13	100	117	1	26	63	9
Caucasian	100	56	4	61	34	2	100	56	7	30	52	11	100	56	2	38	54	7
Black	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	99	160	3	55	36	6	100	160	3	27	56	14	100	160	1	19	66	14
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	95	20	15	5	30	45	100	20	15	0	60	25	100	20	5	15	40	40
ELL ³	98	46	4	26	54	13	100	46	4	15	54	26	100	46	0	15	61	24
FRLP ⁴	100	107	2	57	34	7	100	107	0	23	63	14	100	107	0	18	67	15
Migrant	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	224	3	56	35	6	100	224	4	27	56	13	100	224	1	24	63	13
All 2006-07	100	212	4	44	40	12	100	212	3	20	56	21	100	212	0	16	66	17
NM2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	29	7	99	24,579	7	30	49	14	99	24,564	1	25	58	15
NM2006-07	99	25,103	3	53	34	10	99	25,102	5	25	50	19	99	25,083	1	23	62	14

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ -Economically Disadvantaged

11th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	99	75	4	52	33	9	97	75	4	21	41	31	96	73	0	21	70	5
Male	100	68	6	35	41	18	97	68	4	32	35	25	97	65	0	29	65	3
Caucasian	98	47	0	53	38	6	96	47	9	36	32	19	96	47	0	36	57	2
Black	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	93	8	40	38	15	98	93	2	23	43	30	97	88	0	18	74	5
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	100	13	8	8	38	46	100	13	0	0	38	62	100	13	0	15	69	15
ELL ³	100	12	17	17	50	17	100	12	0	0	67	33	***	7	***	***	***	***
FRLP ⁴	100	49	6	31	39	24	96	49	2	24	31	39	94	48	0	19	71	4
Migrant	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	99	143	5	44	37	13	97	143	4	27	38	28	96	138	0	25	67	4
All 2006-07	98	186	6	31	42	20	99	186	4	19	49	27	***	2	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	98	20,363	6	44	36	12	98	20,365	9	25	40	25	96	20,104	2	32	55	7
NM2006-07	98	19,936	7	41	36	15	97	19,939	7	25	44	22						

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴ -Economically Disadvantaged

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Contreras, Enrique	7
Duncan, Thurman "Slick"	13
Kidd, LaRhonda	6
McGinnes, Lynda	25
Reeder, Ronny	10

Source: 2007-08 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

Data on School Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2007-2008

	Expenditure	Percent
Direct Instruction	14,680,528	65
Instructional Support Services	7,958,506	35
Students	2,567,012	32
Instruction	364,118	5
General Administration	577,743	7
School Administration	1,552,967	20
Central Services	387,404	5
Operations & Maintenance	2,509,236	32
Student Transportation	25	<1
Non-Instructional Support	649	<1
Food Services	0	0
Community Services	649	100
Capital Outlay	32,289	<1
Total Expenditures	22,671,972	

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 0.14% DISTRICT: 0.00%

	Core Classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	
	STATEWIDE	DISTRICT
High Poverty Schools	5.0%	N/A
Low Poverty Schools	4.2%	0.9 %

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*
STATE WIDE	22,391	58.5%	41.6%	4.5%
DISTRICT WIDE	196	65.8	33.7	0.6
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	14	85.7	14.3	0.0
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	21	81.0	19.0	0.0
LEA ELEMENTARY	19	57.9	42.1	0.0
LLANO ELEMENTARY	29	69.0	31.0	6.7
LOVINGTON 6TH GRADE	30	66.7	33.3	0.0
LOVINGTON HIGH	33	57.6	39.4	1.4
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	7	42.9	57.1	0.0
TAYLOR MIDDLE	27	59.3	40.7	0.0
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	24	66.7	33.3	0.0

* See explanation of data source on last page.

Note: Difference in teacher totals and school totals may occur because of district assignments.

*** = missing or not available

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	19582	34540	3417	1294	2665	1509	31.1	54.8	5.4	2.1	4.2	2.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	17719	33550	5878	2304	2385	1173	28.1	53.2	9.3	3.7	3.8	1.9
STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	21424	33361	3469	1157	2215	1383	34.0	52.9	5.5	1.8	3.5	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	21186	31955	5073	1664	1409	1722	33.6	50.7	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	17564	32541	3882	1388	6237	1398	27.9	51.6	6.2	2.2	9.9	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	18032	33792	4592	2096	3031	1467	28.6	53.6	7.3	3.3	4.8	2.3
STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	13947	30216	8112	2907	5314	2515	22.1	48.0	12.9	4.6	8.4	4.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	25857	29653	3433	1446	952	1669	41.0	47.1	5.4	2.3	1.5	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	9	18353	34063	3889	1619	3788	1671	29.0	53.7	6.1	2.6	6.0	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	21851	33306	4095	1156	1027	1574	34.7	52.9	6.5	1.8	1.6	2.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	1	345	629	55	14	79	15	30.3	55.3	4.8	1.2	6.9	1.3
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	2	318	703	41	10	50	15	28.0	61.8	3.6	0.9	4.4	1.3
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	3	365	649	27	9	66	21	32.1	57.1	2.4	0.8	5.8	1.8
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	4	367	615	76	17	30	32	32.3	54.1	6.7	1.5	2.6	2.8
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	5	307	637	29	13	129	21	27.0	56.1	2.6	1.1	11.4	1.8
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	6	331	656	49	19	58	23	29.1	57.7	4.3	1.7	5.1	2.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	7	268	590	91	22	109	57	23.6	51.9	8.0	1.9	9.6	5.0
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	8	450	594	45	10	21	17	39.6	52.2	4.0	0.9	1.8	1.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	9	346	631	35	5	93	27	30.4	55.5	3.1	0.4	8.2	2.4
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	10	394	609	72	10	22	30	34.7	53.6	6.3	0.9	1.9	2.6
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	1	39	55	2	0	3	1	39.0	55.0	2.0	0.0	3.0	1.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	2	32	63	1	1	2	1	32.0	63.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	3	36	53	2	1	8	0	36.0	53.0	2.0	1.0	8.0	0.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	4	33	57	4	3	1	2	33.0	57.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	2.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	5	31	52	3	1	11	2	31.0	52.0	3.0	1.0	11.0	2.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	6	38	50	2	2	5	3	38.0	50.0	2.0	2.0	5.0	3.0

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	7	23	54	5	1	11	6	23.0	54.0	5.0	1.0	11.0	6.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	8	48	47	0	3	2	0	48.0	47.0	0.0	3.0	2.0	0.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	9	36	52	2	1	8	1	36.0	52.0	2.0	1.0	8.0	1.0
BEN ALEXANDER ELEM	10	34	54	6	1	2	3	34.0	54.0	6.0	1.0	2.0	3.0
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	1	42	61	9	1	3	0	36.2	52.6	7.8	0.9	2.6	0.0
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	2	40	68	2	0	4	2	34.5	58.6	1.7	0.0	3.4	1.7
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	3	48	57	1	0	7	3	41.4	49.1	0.9	0.0	6.0	2.6
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	4	56	51	5	1	0	3	48.3	44.0	4.3	0.9	0.0	2.6
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	5	38	64	0	0	12	2	32.8	55.2	0.0	0.0	10.3	1.7
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	6	44	58	3	2	7	2	37.9	50.0	2.6	1.7	6.0	1.7
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	7	32	50	11	3	8	12	27.6	43.1	9.5	2.6	6.9	10.3
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	8	66	46	3	1	0	0	56.9	39.7	2.6	0.9	0.0	0.0
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	9	42	62	2	0	7	3	36.2	53.4	1.7	0.0	6.0	2.6
JEFFERSON ELEMENTARY	10	51	58	2	1	2	2	44.0	50.0	1.7	0.9	1.7	1.7
LEA ELEMENTARY	1	42	52	4	0	5	1	40.4	50.0	3.8	0.0	4.8	1.0
LEA ELEMENTARY	2	45	55	1	0	3	0	43.3	52.9	1.0	0.0	2.9	0.0
LEA ELEMENTARY	3	41	58	1	0	2	2	39.4	55.8	1.0	0.0	1.9	1.9
LEA ELEMENTARY	4	46	52	4	0	1	1	44.2	50.0	3.8	0.0	1.0	1.0
LEA ELEMENTARY	5	40	51	1	0	10	2	38.5	49.0	1.0	0.0	9.6	1.9
LEA ELEMENTARY	6	39	55	2	0	6	2	37.5	52.9	1.9	0.0	5.8	1.9
LEA ELEMENTARY	7	24	57	6	3	8	6	23.1	54.8	5.8	2.9	7.7	5.8
LEA ELEMENTARY	8	52	46	2	0	0	4	50.0	44.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	3.8
LEA ELEMENTARY	9	39	56	4	0	3	2	37.5	53.8	3.8	0.0	2.9	1.9
LEA ELEMENTARY	10	43	49	10	0	0	2	41.3	47.1	9.6	0.0	0.0	1.9
LLANO ELEMENTARY	1	69	76	5	1	10	2	42.3	46.6	3.1	0.6	6.1	1.2
LLANO ELEMENTARY	2	60	86	9	1	3	4	36.8	52.8	5.5	0.6	1.8	2.5

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
LLANO ELEMENTARY	3	77	71	1	0	11	3	47.2	43.6	0.6	0.0	6.7	1.8
LLANO ELEMENTARY	4	77	74	5	1	4	2	47.2	45.4	3.1	0.6	2.5	1.2
LLANO ELEMENTARY	5	43	72	4	0	39	5	26.4	44.2	2.5	0.0	23.9	3.1
LLANO ELEMENTARY	6	65	81	3	2	9	3	39.9	49.7	1.8	1.2	5.5	1.8
LLANO ELEMENTARY	7	51	73	8	1	16	14	31.3	44.8	4.9	0.6	9.8	8.6
LLANO ELEMENTARY	8	97	60	2	0	0	4	59.5	36.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.5
LLANO ELEMENTARY	9	79	72	2	0	8	2	48.5	44.2	1.2	0.0	4.9	1.2
LLANO ELEMENTARY	10	64	81	5	2	5	6	39.3	49.7	3.1	1.2	3.1	3.7
LOVINGTON HIGH	1	0	22	4	1	1	1	0.0	75.9	13.8	3.4	3.4	3.4
LOVINGTON HIGH	2	2	21	1	0	4	1	6.9	72.4	3.4	0.0	13.8	3.4
LOVINGTON HIGH	3	4	18	3	1	2	1	13.8	62.1	10.3	3.4	6.9	3.4
LOVINGTON HIGH	4	4	18	3	1	1	2	13.8	62.1	10.3	3.4	3.4	6.9
LOVINGTON HIGH	5	5	19	1	1	3	0	17.2	65.5	3.4	3.4	10.3	0.0
LOVINGTON HIGH	6	4	21	2	1	1	0	13.8	72.4	6.9	3.4	3.4	0.0
LOVINGTON HIGH	7	9	15	3	0	2	0	31.0	51.7	10.3	0.0	6.9	0.0
LOVINGTON HIGH	8	6	19	2	1	1	0	20.7	65.5	6.9	3.4	3.4	0.0
LOVINGTON HIGH	9	4	18	2	1	2	2	13.8	62.1	6.9	3.4	6.9	6.9
LOVINGTON HIGH	10	7	17	5	0	0	0	24.1	58.6	17.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	1	6	28	3	1	8	1	12.8	59.6	6.4	2.1	17.0	2.1
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	2	3	33	5	1	5	0	6.4	70.2	10.6	2.1	10.6	0.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	3	4	34	4	1	4	0	8.5	72.3	8.5	2.1	8.5	0.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	4	4	35	3	2	3	0	8.5	74.5	6.4	4.3	6.4	0.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	5	5	34	2	2	3	1	10.6	72.3	4.3	4.3	6.4	2.1
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	6	7	34	3	0	3	0	14.9	72.3	6.4	0.0	6.4	0.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	7	3	33	3	1	6	1	6.4	70.2	6.4	2.1	12.8	2.1
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	8	5	34	5	0	3	0	10.6	72.3	10.6	0.0	6.4	0.0

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	9	4	33	2	0	8	0	8.5	70.2	4.3	0.0	17.0	0.0
LOVINGTON JR HIGH	10	7	32	4	1	3	0	14.9	68.1	8.5	2.1	6.4	0.0
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	1	10	21	1	0	2	1	28.6	60.0	2.9	0.0	5.7	2.9
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	2	6	24	3	0	0	2	17.1	68.6	8.6	0.0	0.0	5.7
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	3	16	14	3	0	0	2	45.7	40.0	8.6	0.0	0.0	5.7
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	4	9	16	7	0	1	2	25.7	45.7	20.0	0.0	2.9	5.7
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	5	5	23	3	1	2	1	14.3	65.7	8.6	2.9	5.7	2.9
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	6	8	21	3	0	2	1	22.9	60.0	8.6	0.0	5.7	2.9
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	7	6	20	6	0	2	1	17.1	57.1	17.1	0.0	5.7	2.9
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	8	10	20	2	0	1	2	28.6	57.1	5.7	0.0	2.9	5.7
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	9	9	24	0	0	0	2	25.7	68.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7
NEW HOPE ALT HIGH	10	9	22	1	1	1	1	25.7	62.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9
TAYLOR MIDDLE	1	82	205	15	10	29	5	23.7	59.2	4.3	2.9	8.4	1.4
TAYLOR MIDDLE	2	79	226	13	5	19	4	22.8	65.3	3.8	1.4	5.5	1.2
TAYLOR MIDDLE	3	83	221	8	6	21	7	24.0	63.9	2.3	1.7	6.1	2.0
TAYLOR MIDDLE	4	78	200	39	7	10	12	22.5	57.8	11.3	2.0	2.9	3.5
TAYLOR MIDDLE	5	82	210	10	8	27	8	23.8	60.9	2.9	2.3	7.8	2.3
TAYLOR MIDDLE	6	76	219	16	10	18	6	22.0	63.5	4.6	2.9	5.2	1.7
TAYLOR MIDDLE	7	77	190	34	6	29	10	22.3	54.9	9.8	1.7	8.4	2.9
TAYLOR MIDDLE	8	89	218	23	4	7	5	25.7	63.0	6.6	1.2	2.0	1.4
TAYLOR MIDDLE	9	79	209	13	3	33	9	22.8	60.4	3.8	0.9	9.5	2.6
TAYLOR MIDDLE	10	110	200	26	1	4	5	31.8	57.8	7.5	0.3	1.2	1.4
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	1	55	109	12	0	18	3	27.9	55.3	6.1	0.0	9.1	1.5
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	2	51	127	6	2	10	1	25.9	64.5	3.0	1.0	5.1	0.5
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	3	56	123	4	0	11	3	28.4	62.4	2.0	0.0	5.6	1.5
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	4	60	112	6	2	9	8	30.5	56.9	3.0	1.0	4.6	4.1

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	5	58	112	5	0	22	0	29.4	56.9	2.5	0.0	11.2	0.0
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	6	50	117	15	2	7	6	25.4	59.4	7.6	1.0	3.6	3.0
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	7	43	98	15	7	27	7	21.8	49.7	7.6	3.6	13.7	3.6
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	8	77	104	6	1	7	2	39.1	52.8	3.0	0.5	3.6	1.0
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	9	54	105	8	0	24	6	27.4	53.3	4.1	0.0	12.2	3.0
YARBRO ELEMENTARY	10	69	96	13	3	5	11	35.0	48.7	6.6	1.5	2.5	5.6

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

SWD- Students with Disabilities.

Elementary & Secondary Act District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the STARS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2007-08 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2007-08, highly qualified teacher report generated on 2/18/2009.

Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. ESEA requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%).

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).