New Mexico Public Education Department

SCHOOL DISTRICT REPORT CARD FOR 2007-08 SCHOOL YEAR

Printed: 5/17/2009

PECOS INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS SUMMARY

PECOS INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS

AYP Rating: AYP Not Met

Improvement Status: SI-1

	Total Number	Percent
Schools rated in district	3	100
Schools in School Improvement	2	67
Schools in Corrective Action	1	33
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute says, "The Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D).

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- -- District Student Demographics
- -- ESEA District Summary
- -- ESEA Accountability by Subgroup
- -- 4th and 8th Grade NAEP Assessments
- -- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Grade State Assessments
- -- School Board Member Participation
- -- Data on District Expenditures
- -- Teacher Quality Data
- -- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

STATE WIDE PECOS INDEPENDENT

2007-2008 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS*

	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	330	45.8	157911	48.9
Male	390	54.2	165190	51.1
Caucasian	63	8.8	95275	29.5
African-American	9	1.3	8424	2.6
Hispanic	643	89.3	17918	55.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	0.1	4441	1.4
American Indian	4	0.6	35780	11.1
English Language Learners	361	50.1	59903	18.5
Students with Disabilities	172	23.9	48691	15.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	720	100.0	205601	63.6
Migrant	0	0.0	616	0.2

^{*}Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

Adequate Yea	rly Progress		STATE A	ACCOUNTABILITY	DATA (AYP)
School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status
PECOS ELEMENTARY	AYP Not Met	SI-1	PECOS HIGH	AYP Not Met	SI-2
PECOS MIDDLE	AYP Not Met	CA			

ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by ***.

	PERCEN [®]	T OF S	TUDENT	rs proi	FICIENT	OR ABC)VE	American			
School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	ALL Students	Caucasian	African- American	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific	Indian/ Alaskan Native	FRLP	ELL	SWD
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency	59	37.4	***	***	34.6	***	***	37.4	35.3	<2.0
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency	53	32.9	60.0	***	30.2	***	***	32.9	31.1	7.7
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency	56	2.9	***	***	2.9	***	***	2.9	2.9	***
PECOS ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	36.9	***	***	34.4	***	***	36.9	35.1	<2.0
PECOS HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	2.9	***	***	2.9	***	***	2.9	2.9	***
PECOS MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	53	32.9	60.0	***	30.2	***	***	32.9	31.1	7.7
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency	44	15.8	***	***	14.6	***	***	15.8	14.3	<2.0
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency	35	13.6	10.0	***	14.0	***	***	13.6	13.6	7.7
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency	40	8.6	***	***	8.8	***	***	8.6	8.8	***
PECOS ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	15.8	***	***	14.6	***	***	15.8	14.3	<2.0
PECOS HIGH	Math Proficiency	40	8.6	***	***	8.8	***	***	8.6	8.8	***
PECOS MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	35	13.6	10.0	***	14.0	***	***	13.6	13.6	7.7
All Students-Grade K-5	Attendance Rate	92	95.0	***	***	95.0	***	***	94.9	94.9	94.8
All Students-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Attendance Rate	92	92.5	91.0	***	92.8	***	***	92.5	92.7	91.2
HOMEBOUND/HOSPITAL	Attendance Rate	92		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
PECOS ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	94.0	***	***	94.1	***	***	94.1	93.9	93.0
PECOS MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	92.5	91.0	***	92.8	***	***	92.5	92.7	91.2
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	90	94.1	***	***	97.0	***	***	94.1	97.0	***
PECOS HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	92.7	***	***	94.7	***	***	92.7	94.7	***

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2007 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade		READING	G (2007)		MA	THEMATI	CS (20	07)		SCIENC	CE (200	5)
	Percent	at Each Ac	hievemen	t Level ¹	Percent	at Each Ach	nievemen	t Level ¹	Percent a	t Each Achi	evement	Level ¹
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Below Basic
New Mexico	5	19	34	42	2	22	46	30	1	16	37	45
Nation	7	24	34	34	5	33	43	19	2	25	39	34

8th Grade	R	EADING	(2007)		MAT	HEMATI	CS (200	07)		SCIENC	E (2005	5)
	Percent	at Each Ad	chievemer	nt Level1	Percent	t at Each Ac	hievemer	nt Level ¹	Percent a	t Each Achi	evement	Level ¹
				Below				Below				Below
Overall	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic ²	Basic
New Mexico	1	17	45	38	3	15	39	43	1	17	28	54
Nation	2	27	43	27	7	24	39	30	3	24	30	43

Basic is defined as partial mastery, Proficient is solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter and Advanced is superior performance

State Assessment Results for District (excluding charter schools)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading, Mathematics and Science in the 3rd through 8th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2007-08 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2008. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grad	Е	R	EADII	NG				MAI	HEN	<u>IATIC</u>	<u>S</u>				<u> SCIEI</u>	NCE		
Reporting		Per	cent at I	Each Pr	oficiency	Level		Perc	ent at E	ach Pro	ficiency	Level		Perce	nt at Ea	ch Profic	ciency L	evel
^	Percent Participati			Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient I	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participation	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	25	12	40	24	24	100	25	4	16	60	20	100	25	4	72	24	0
Male	100	26	4	38	31	27	100	26	0	15	46	38	100	27	11	56	30	4
Caucasian	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	43	7	35	30	28	100	43	2	12	51	35	100	44	5	61	32	2
Asian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***	***	8	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	100	44	7	34	30	30	100	44	2	11	52	34	100	45	4	62	31	2
FRLP ⁴	100	50	8	38	28	26	100	50	2	16	52	30	100	51	8	63	27	2
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	51	8	39	27	25	100	51	2	16	53	29	100	52	8	63	27	2
All 2006-07	100	57	0	46	25	30	100	57	0	21	54	25	100	57	2	63	33	2
NM2007-08	99	24,878	11	48	27	14	99	24,878	7	37	48	8	99	24,868	12	68	19	1
NM2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	99	24,320	11	68	20	1

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

2rd Crada

Basic is most comparable to the Proficiency level on the Standards Based Assessment

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

4rd Grad	е	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HEM	IATIC	S			S	CIE	NCE		
Reporting			cent at E	Each Prof	ficiency	Level					oficiency			Perce	nt at Ea	ach Prof	iciency L	evel
•	Percent Participatir	Number og Tested	Advanced	d Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number g Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number g Tested A	dvanced	Proficient F	Nearing B	eginning Step
Female	100	19	0	42	37	21	100	19	0	11	68	21	100	19	0	47	42	11
Male	100	37	0	32	32	35	100	37	0	16	68	16	100	37	0	38	57	5
Caucasian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	55	0	35	35	31	100	55	0	15	67	18	100	55	0	40	53	7
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	100	14	0	7	7	86	100	14	0	0	64	36	100	14	0	14	57	29
ELL ³	100	52	0	33	35	33	100	52	0	12	69	19	100	52	0	38	54	8
FRLP⁴	100	56	0	36	34	30	100	56	0	14	68	18	100	56	0	41	52	7
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	56	0	36	34	30	100	56	0	14	68	18	100	56	0	41	52	7
All 2006-07	98	52	4	40	33	21	98	52	0	19	65	13	98	52	0	35	62	2
NM2007-08	99	24,364	8	43	34	15	99	24,367	7	32	52	8		24,357	2	48	45	4
NM2006-07	99	24,231	10	44	33	12	99	24,237	11	35	45	9	99	24,226	3	52	40	4

5th Grad	le	R	EAD	ING				MAT	ГНЕМ	IATIC	S				SCIEN	NCE		
Reporting			cent at I	Each Pr	oficiency	Level		Pero	cent at E	Each Pro	oficiency	Level		Perc	ent at Ea	ach Profi	ciency l	_evel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number 9 Tested	Advance	dProficien	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participat	Number	Advanced	Proficient P	Nearing B	eginning Step
Female	100	26	4	42	46	8	100	26	0	12	65	23	100	26	0	35	58	8
Male	100	28	7	25	54	14	100	28	0	32	61	7	100	28	0	29	64	7
Caucasian	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Black	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	47	4	28	55	13	100	47	0	19	64	17	100	47	0	23	68	9
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	100	11	0	0	64	36	100	11	0	0	55	45	100	11	0	0	73	27
ELL ³	100	51	6	31	51	12	100	51	0	20	65	16	100	51	0	29	63	8
FRLP ⁴	100	54	6	33	50	11	100	54	0	22	63	15	100	54	0	31	61	7
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	54	6	33	50	11	100	54	0	22	63	15	100	54	0	31	61	7
All 2006-07	100	55	11	38	44	7	100	55	2	13	55	31	100	55	4	25	65	5
NM2007-08	99	24,274	11	45	35	8	99	24,274	9	32	48	12	99	24,270	4	43	49	4
NM2006-07	99	23,998	14	45	32	9	99	24,013	7	29	51	12	99	23,998	3	41	51	5

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

6th Grad	le	R	EADI	NG				MAT	HEN	1ATIC	S			9	SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting			cent at E	Each Pro	oficiency			Per	cent at	Each Pro	oficiency	Level		Perc	ent at Ea	ach Pro	ficiency l	_evel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number 9 Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning , Step	Percen Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percen Participat	t Number ing Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step
Female	97	33	6	15	67	9	97	33	3	3	55	36	100	33	0	15	58	27
Male	95	22	0	18	50	27	95	22	0	0	55	41	95	22	0	9	55	32
Caucasian	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	96	51	4	14	61	18	96	51	2	2	57	35	98	51	0	12	59	27
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	83	12	8	0	42	33	83	12	8	0	8	67	92	12	0	17	8	67
ELL ³	98	50	4	12	64	18	98	50	2	2	54	40	98	50	0	8	58	32
FRLP ⁴	96	55	4	16	60	16	96	55	2	2	55	38	98	55	0	13	56	29
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	96	55	4	16	60	16	96	55	2	2	55	38	98	55	0	13	56	29
All 2006-07	100	54	2	15	50	33	100	54	4	9	48	39	98	54	2	17	54	26
NM2007-08	99	23,666	6	37	46	11	99	23,666	5	23	58	13	99	23,653	3	28	56	13
NM2006-07	99	24,110	5	33	48	15	99	24,119	5	22	52	21	99	24,115	2	32	53	12

7th Grad	le	R	EADI	NG				MA	ГНЕМ	ATIC	S			(SCIEN	NCE		
Reporting		Pei	rcent at	Each Pro	oficiency			Perc	ent at Ea	ach Pro	ficiency L	.evel		Perce	ent at Ea	ch Profic	ciency L	evel
Group	Percent Participatin	Number 9 Tested		d Proficient	Nearing Froficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participatin	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient P	Nearing Be	eginning Step
Female	96	26	4	35	31	27	96	26	4	12	65	15	96	26	0	19	58	19
Male	97	31	0	26	45	26	97	31	0	16	52	29	97	31	0	19	55	23
Caucasian	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	96	50	2	26	38	30	96	50	2	16	54	24	96	50	0	18	56	22
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD ²	91	11	9	0	9	73	91	11	9	0	36	45	91	11	0	9	27	55
ELL ³	96	50	2	30	34	30	96	50	2	14	58	22	96	50	0	20	52	24
FRLP ⁴	96	55	2	29	38	27	96	55	2	15	58	22	96	55	0	20	55	22
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	96	57	2	30	39	26	96	57	2	14	58	23	96	57	0	19	56	21
All 2006-07	98	49	2	33	35	29	94	49	0	10	43	41	98	49	0	16	63	18
NM2007-08		23,964	8	43	37	12	95	23,964	6	24	51	18	99	23,954	2	31	51	15
NM2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	99	24,654	2	28	51	18

8th Grad	е	RI	EADII	١G				MAT	HEM	ATIC	S			(SCIEN	ICE		
Reporting		Per	cent at E	ach Prof	ficiency	Level		Perc	ent at Ea	ach Prof	iciency L	evel		Perce	nt at Eac	h Profic	ciency Le	evel
Group	Percent Participating	Number 7 Tested		Proficient I	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participati	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing B Proficiency	eginning Step
Female	100	19	0	47	37	16	100	19	0	16	58	26	100	19	0	11	47	42
Male	100	29	0	52	38	10	100	29	0	21	52	28	100	29	0	24	59	17
Caucasian	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	43	0	49	37	14	100	43	0	19	53	28	100	43	0	21	49	30
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian ¹	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD^2	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
ELL ³	100	42	0	48	38	14	100	42	0	19	52	29	100	42	0	21	48	31
FRLP ⁴	100	46	0	48	39	13	100	46	0	20	52	28	100	46	0	20	54	26
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	48	0	50	38	13	100	48	0	19	54	27	100	48	0	19	54	27
All 2006-07	99	77	1	27	43	27	94	77	0	4	60	30	95	77	0	4	65	26
NM2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	29	7	99	24,579	7	30	49	14	99	24,564	1	25	58	15
NM2006-07		25,103	3	53	34	10	99	25,102	5	25	50	19		25,083	1	23	62	14

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

11th Grade READING							MATHEMATICS						SCIENCE						
Reporting	Percent at Each Proficiency Level							Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
Group	Percent Participatin	Number g Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participatir	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing E Proficiency	eginning Step	Percent Participatii	Number ng Tested	Advanced	Proficient P	Nearing Be	eginning Step	
Female	76	17	0	0	41	35	100	17	6	0	29	65	88	16	0	6	50	31	
Male	95	22	0	5	18	73	100	22	0	9	32	59	100	21	0	5	71	24	
Caucasian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	
Hispanic	87	38	0	3	29	55	100	38	3	5	29	63	94	36	0	6	61	28	
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	
Am.Indian1	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	
SWD ²	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	
ELL ³	86	35	0	3	31	51	100	35	3	6	31	60	94	33	0	6	64	24	
FRLP ⁴	87	39	0	3	28	56	100	39	3	5	31	62	95	37	0	5	62	27	
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	
All 2007-08	87	39	0	3	28	56	100	39	3	5	31	62	95	37	0	5	62	27	
All 2006-07	94	48	4	31	42	17	94	48	2	2	56	33	***	1	***	***	***	***	
NM2007-08	00	20.262	6	4.4	26	10							06	20.404	2	22	EE	7	
NM2006-07	98 98	20,363 19,936	6 7	44 41	36 36	12 15	98 97	20,365 19,939	9 7	25 25	40 44	25 22	96	20,104	2	32	55	/	

¹ - includes Alaskan Natives ² - SWD - Students with Disabilities ³ - ELL - English Language Learners ⁴-Economically Disadvantaged

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Baca, Paul C de	33
Barrens, Grady	12
Garcia, Harold	21
Varela, James	6
Vigil, Mary Ann	21

Source: 2007-08 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

Data on School Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2007-2008

	Expenditure	Percent	
Direct Instruction	4,255,935		51
Instructional Support Services	3,875,716		47
Students	1,209,256	31	
Instruction	241,736	6	
General Administration	512,607	13	
School Administration	515,949	13	
Central Services	214,438	6	
Operations & Maintenance	1,179,683	30	
Student Transportation	2,048	<1	
Non-Instructional Support	12,738		<1
Food Services	12,738	100	
Community Services	0	0	
Capital Outlay	135,388		2
Total Expeditures	8,279,777		

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

High Poverty Schools

Low Poverty Schools

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 0.14% DISTRICT: 2.08%

Core Classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

 STATEWIDE
 DISTRICT

 5.0%
 0.0 %

 4.2%
 N/A

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*
STATE WIDE	22,391	58.5%	41.6%	4.5%
DISTRICT WIDE	48	70.8	29.2	0.0
PECOS ELEMENTARY	27	85.2	14.8	0.0
PECOS HIGH	12	50.0	50.0	0.0
PECOS MIDDLE	13	61.5	38.5	0.0

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.

D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number		SA-SI	rongly Agi	ee A-Ag	ree i	D-Disagree SD-Strongly Disagree			K=D0 H0t KH0W O=N0 Opinior				HIOH		
		Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	19582	34540	3417	1294	2665	1509	31.1	54.8	5.4	2.1	4.2	2.4	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	17719	33550	5878	2304	2385	1173	28.1	53.2	9.3	3.7	3.8	1.9	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	21424	33361	3469	1157	2215	1383	34.0	52.9	5.5	1.8	3.5	2.2	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	21186	31955	5073	1664	1409	1722	33.6	50.7	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.7	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	17564	32541	3882	1388	6237	1398	27.9	51.6	6.2	2.2	9.9	2.2	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	18032	33792	4592	2096	3031	1467	28.6	53.6	7.3	3.3	4.8	2.3	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	13947	30216	8112	2907	5314	2515	22.1	48.0	12.9	4.6	8.4	4.0	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	25857	29653	3433	1446	952	1669	41.0	47.1	5.4	2.3	1.5	2.6	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	9	18353	34063	3889	1619	3788	1671	29.0	53.7	6.1	2.6	6.0	2.6	
	STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	21851	33306	4095	1156	1027	1574	34.7	52.9	6.5	1.8	1.6	2.5	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	1	31	126	11	6	3	7	16.8	68.5	6.0	3.3	1.6	3.8	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	2	27	108	32	4	6	7	14.7	58.7	17.4	2.2	3.3	3.8	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	3	37	102	23	8	3	11	20.1	55.4	12.5	4.3	1.6	6.0	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	4	47	116	10	0	1	10	25.5	63.0	5.4	0.0	0.5	5.4	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	5	30	102	29	7	11	5	16.3	55.4	15.8	3.8	6.0	2.7	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	6	31	107	19	17	6	4	16.8	58.2	10.3	9.2	3.3	2.2	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	7	30	104	23	9	8	10	16.3	56.5	12.5	4.9	4.3	5.4	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	8	71	97	8	2	2	4	38.6	52.7	4.3	1.1	1.1	2.2	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	9	38	117	11	3	8	7	20.7	63.6	6.0	1.6	4.3	3.8	
	DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	10	57	114	9	1	1	2	31.0	62.0	4.9	0.5	0.5	1.1	
	PECOS ELEMENTARY	1	27	103	7	5	3	7	17.8	67.8	4.6	3.3	2.0	4.6	
	PECOS ELEMENTARY	2	26	83	28	4	4	7	17.1	54.6	18.4	2.6	2.6	4.6	
	PECOS ELEMENTARY	3	31	87	15	6	3	10	20.4	57.2	9.9	3.9	2.0	6.6	
	PECOS ELEMENTARY	4	41	93	9	0	1	8	27.0	61.2	5.9	0.0	0.7	5.3	
	PECOS ELEMENTARY	5	27	84	19	6	11	5	17.8	55.3	12.5	3.9	7.2	3.3	
	PECOS ELEMENTARY	6	29	90	13	12	5	3	19.1	59.2	8.6	7.9	3.3	2.0	

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.

D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree

#=Number %=Percent ***=indicates no data reported

Qii Question rumber	0/1-01	Torigiy / igici	Ort-Ottorigity rigide Transpice			D-Disagree OD-Otroligiy i			Disagree R-Do not know O-No Opinio					
	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#0	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O	
PECOS ELEMENTARY	7	25	86	19	6	6	10	16.4	56.6	12.5	3.9	3.9	6.6	
PECOS ELEMENTARY	8	65	76	5	1	2	3	42.8	50.0	3.3	0.7	1.3	2.0	
PECOS ELEMENTARY	9	35	94	9	1	6	7	23.0	61.8	5.9	0.7	3.9	4.6	
PECOS ELEMENTARY	10	52	88	8	1	1	2	34.2	57.9	5.3	0.7	0.7	1.3	
PECOS HIGH	1	2	10	1	0	0	0	15.4	76.9	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	2	0	9	2	0	2	0	0.0	69.2	15.4	0.0	15.4	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	3	0	5	6	2	0	0	0.0	38.5	46.2	15.4	0.0	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	4	1	11	0	0	0	1	7.7	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	
PECOS HIGH	5	1	6	6	0	0	0	7.7	46.2	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	6	0	4	4	4	1	0	0.0	30.8	30.8	30.8	7.7	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	7	2	7	2	2	0	0	15.4	53.8	15.4	15.4	0.0	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	8	3	8	2	0	0	0	23.1	61.5	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	9	0	9	2	1	1	0	0.0	69.2	15.4	7.7	7.7	0.0	
PECOS HIGH	10	2	11	0	0	0	0	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PECOS MIDDLE	1	2	13	3	1	0	0	10.5	68.4	15.8	5.3	0.0	0.0	
PECOS MIDDLE	2	1	16	2	0	0	0	5.3	84.2	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
PECOS MIDDLE	3	6	10	2	0	0	1	31.6	52.6	10.5	0.0	0.0	5.3	
PECOS MIDDLE	4	5	12	1	0	0	1	26.3	63.2	5.3	0.0	0.0	5.3	
PECOS MIDDLE	5	2	12	4	1	0	0	10.5	63.2	21.1	5.3	0.0	0.0	
PECOS MIDDLE	6	2	13	2	1	0	1	10.5	68.4	10.5	5.3	0.0	5.3	
PECOS MIDDLE	7	3	11	2	1	2	0	15.8	57.9	10.5	5.3	10.5	0.0	
PECOS MIDDLE	8	3	13	1	1	0	1	15.8	68.4	5.3	5.3	0.0	5.3	
PECOS MIDDLE	9	3	14	0	1	1	0	15.8	73.7	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0	
PECOS MIDDLE	10	3	15	1	0	0	0	15.8	78.9	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

- ELL English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.
- Migrant Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.
- FRLP Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.
- SWD- Students with Disabilities.

Elementary & Secondary Act District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the STARS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2007-08 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2007-08, highly qualified teacher report generated on 2/18/2009.

Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. ESEA requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%).

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).

Printed: 5/17/2009

PECOS Page 12 District Report Card 2007-08