



SCHOOL DISTRICT REPORT CARD FOR 2007-08 SCHOOL YEAR

WEST LAS VEGAS PUBLIC SCHOOLS

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS SUMMARY

WEST LAS VEGAS PUBLIC SCHOOLS

AYP Rating: AYP Not Met

Improvement Status: SI-2

	Total Number	Percent
Schools rated in district	9	100
Schools in School Improvement	1	11
Schools in Corrective Action	2	22
Schools in Restructuring	1	11

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

- District Student Demographics
- ESEA District Summary
- ESEA Accountability by Subgroup
- 4th and 8th Grade NAEP Assessments
- 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th and 11th Grade State Assessments
- School Board Member Participation
- Data on District Expenditures
- Teacher Quality Data
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Elementary & Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute says, "The Department shall measure the performance of every public school in New Mexico," (§ 22-2C-3, D).

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- a) Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- b) Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- c) Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, American Indian/Alaskan Native; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (SWD), and English Language Learners (ELL).

2007-2008 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*

	WEST LAS VEGAS PUBLIC		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	816	49.1	157911	48.9
Male	847	50.9	165190	51.1
Caucasian	60	3.6	95275	29.5
African-American	12	0.7	8424	2.6
Hispanic	1584	95.2	17918	55.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0	4441	1.4
American Indian	7	0.4	35780	11.1
English Language Learners	433	26.0	59903	18.5
Students with Disabilities	222	13.3	48691	15.1
Free/Reduced Lunch Program	1663	100.0	205601	63.6
Migrant	0	0.0	616	0.2

\*Source: STARS 120th day submission to Public Education Department.

**Adequate Yearly Progress****STATE ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)**

School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status	School Name	School AYP Rating	Improvement Status
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	Meets AYP	Progressing	LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	AYP Not Met	Progressing
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	AYP Not Met	Progressing	UNION ELEMENTARY	Meets AYP	Progressing
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	Meets AYP	Progressing	VALLEY MIDDLE	AYP Not Met	CA
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	AYP Not Met	CA	W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	AYP Not Met	R-2
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	AYP Not Met	SI-2			

## ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by \*\*\*.

School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	PERCENT OF STUDENTS PROFICIENT OR ABOVE							FRLP	ELL	SWD
			ALL Students	Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	American Indian/Alaskan Native				
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Reading Proficiency	59	61.0	***	***	61.2	***	***	61.0	58.9	27.3	
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Reading Proficiency	53	49.3	36.4	***	49.5	***	***	49.3	52.5	15.0	
District Wide-Grades 11	Reading Proficiency	56	34.1	***	***	34.1	***	***	34.1	29.7	5.9	
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	Reading Proficiency	59	57.3	***	***	57.9	***	***	57.3	55.4	18.2	
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	Reading Proficiency	59	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	Reading Proficiency	59	57.8	***	***	57.5	***	***	57.8	50.8	25.0	
UNION ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	75.0	***	***	73.7	***	***	75.0	69.0	***	
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	Reading Proficiency	59	59.1	***	***	58.5	***	***	59.1	61.5	***	
VALLEY MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	53	46.3	***	***	45.3	***	***	46.3	46.0	***	
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	Reading Proficiency	56	36.7	***	***	36.7	***	***	36.7	32.6	6.7	
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	Reading Proficiency	53	50.4	30.0	***	51.1	***	***	50.4	55.5	7.1	
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	Reading Proficiency	56	36.7	***	***	33.3	***	***	36.7	30.4	***	
District Wide-Grades 3, 4 & 5	Math Proficiency	44	42.2	***	***	41.8	***	***	42.2	43.2	9.1	
District Wide-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Math Proficiency	35	16.5	27.3	***	16.0	***	***	16.5	17.7	<2.0	
District Wide-Grades 11	Math Proficiency	40	10.9	***	***	10.9	***	***	10.9	8.9	<2.0	
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	Math Proficiency	44	34.7	***	***	35.1	***	***	34.7	39.0	9.1	
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	Math Proficiency	44	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	Math Proficiency	44	32.2	***	***	32.2	***	***	32.2	28.6	8.3	
UNION ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	75.0	***	***	73.7	***	***	75.0	72.4	***	
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	Math Proficiency	44	40.9	***	***	41.5	***	***	40.9	43.6	***	
VALLEY MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	35	11.1	***	***	11.3	***	***	11.1	10.0	***	
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	Math Proficiency	40	11.7	***	***	11.7	***	***	11.7	9.8	<2.0	
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	Math Proficiency	35	19.0	30.0	***	18.1	***	***	19.0	22.0	<2.0	
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	Math Proficiency	39	16.7	***	***	14.8	***	***	16.7	13.0	***	
All Students-Grade K-5	Attendance Rate	92	95.1	***	***	95.1	***	***	95.1	95.2	95.0	
All Students-Grades 6, 7 & 8	Attendance Rate	92	95.3	97.1	***	95.1	***	***	95.1	95.6	92.5	
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	95.6	***	***	95.6	***	***	95.6	95.7	96.4	
HOME SCHOOL	Attendance Rate	92	.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
HOMEBOUND/HOSPITAL	Attendance Rate	92	.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	91.8	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	Attendance Rate	92	93.4	***	***	93.5	***	***	93.4	93.8	92.0	
UNION ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	95.6	***	***	95.8	***	***	95.6	95.7	***	
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	Attendance Rate	92	95.1	***	***	95.2	***	***	95.1	95.4	***	
VALLEY MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	96.9	***	***	96.9	***	***	96.9	97.1	***	
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	Attendance Rate	92	95.0	96.6	***	95.0	***	***	95.0	95.3	94.0	

## ESEA ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools. Results are not reported for subgroups with fewer than 10 students and are indicated by \*\*\*.

School Name	Academic Indicator	ESEA Goal	PERCENT OF STUDENTS PROFICIENT OR ABOVE								
			ALL Students	Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	American Indian/Alaskan Native	FRLP	ELL	SWD
All Students in Grade 12	Graduation Rate	90	86.7	***	***	86.4	***	***	86.7	83.5	76.5
CARE	Graduation Rate	90	.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	Graduation Rate	90	91.2	***	***	91.7	***	***	91.2	90.3	73.7
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	Graduation Rate	90	50.0	***	***	50.0	***	***	50.0	38.5	***

# National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student performance across states and for the nation as a whole. NAEP does not replace the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) that students take every year, which measures student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students in New Mexico are required to take the SBA, while the NAEP selects representative samples of students. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district, much like a political poll does not sample and report by neighborhood. For further information, visit the NAEP web site at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard>.

NAEP assessments have been given in subjects such as reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, geography, the arts, and others. Reading and mathematics are assessed every two years at grades 4 and 8. Science is assessed every four years. Results presented here are from 2007 (reading and mathematics), and from 2005 (science).

4th Grade		READING (2007)				MATHEMATICS (2007)				SCIENCE (2005)			
		Percent at Each Achievement Level <sup>1</sup>				Percent at Each Achievement Level <sup>1</sup>				Percent at Each Achievement Level <sup>1</sup>			
		Advanced	Proficient	Basic <sup>2</sup>	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic <sup>2</sup>	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic <sup>2</sup>	Below Basic
Overall													
New Mexico		5	19	34	42	2	22	46	30	1	16	37	45
Nation		7	24	34	34	5	33	43	19	2	25	39	34

8th Grade		READING (2007)				MATHEMATICS (2007)				SCIENCE (2005)			
		Percent at Each Achievement Level <sup>1</sup>				Percent at Each Achievement Level <sup>1</sup>				Percent at Each Achievement Level <sup>1</sup>			
		Advanced	Proficient	Basic <sup>2</sup>	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic <sup>2</sup>	Below Basic	Advanced	Proficient	Basic <sup>2</sup>	Below Basic
Overall													
New Mexico		1	17	45	38	3	15	39	43	1	17	28	54
Nation		2	27	43	27	7	24	39	30	3	24	30	43

<sup>1</sup> Basic is defined as partial mastery, Proficient is solid academic performance and competency over challenging subject matter and Advanced is superior performance

<sup>2</sup> Basic is most comparable to the Proficiency level on the Standards Based Assessment

## State Assessment Results for District (excluding charter schools)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading, Mathematics and Science in the 3rd through 8th and 11th grade by the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) and the NM Alternative Proficiency Assessment (NMAPA) for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2007-08 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2008. Results for groups of fewer than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by \*\*\*. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

3rd Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE							
Reporting Group		Percent at Each Proficiency Level					Percent at Each Proficiency Level					Percent at Each Proficiency Level							
		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
	Female	100	53	19	70	9	2	100	53	11	55	32	2	100	53	23	70	8	0
	Male	100	39	23	51	15	10	100	39	18	51	28	3	100	39	28	59	8	5
	Caucasian	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
	Black	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	88	20	64	11	5	100	88	14	53	31	2	100	88	24	67	7	2
	Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
	Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
	SWD <sup>2</sup>	100	12	17	42	8	33	100	12	0	8	83	8	100	12	0	58	25	17
	ELL <sup>3</sup>	100	74	19	64	12	5	100	74	14	51	35	0	100	74	22	69	8	1
	FRLP <sup>4</sup>	100	92	21	62	12	5	100	92	14	53	30	2	100	92	25	65	8	2
	Migrant	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
	All 2007-08	100	92	21	62	12	5	100	92	14	53	30	2	100	92	25	65	8	2
	All 2006-07	99	131	8	47	27	17	100	131	4	44	41	11	99	131	9	66	23	1
	NM2007-08	99	24,878	11	48	27	14	99	24,878	7	37	48	8	99	24,868	12	68	19	1
	NM2006-07	99	24,323	6	49	30	16	99	24,329	5	39	49	8	99	24,320	11	68	20	1

<sup>1</sup> - includes Alaskan Natives <sup>2</sup> - SWD - Students with Disabilities <sup>3</sup> - ELL - English Language Learners <sup>4</sup> - Economically Disadvantaged

# State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

4rd Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	46	13	37	37	13	100	46	2	33	54	11	100	46	2	50	43	4
Male	100	78	6	38	38	17	100	78	4	28	53	15	99	78	1	45	45	8
Caucasian	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Black	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	114	9	38	39	14	100	114	3	30	54	13	99	114	2	46	46	5
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD <sup>2</sup>	100	16	0	13	31	56	100	16	0	6	50	44	100	16	0	19	56	25
ELL <sup>3</sup>	100	88	8	35	41	16	100	88	2	31	55	13	99	88	1	42	49	7
FRLP <sup>4</sup>	100	124	9	38	38	15	100	124	3	30	53	14	99	124	2	47	44	6
Migrant	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***	***	7	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	124	9	38	38	15	100	124	3	30	53	14	99	124	2	47	44	6
All 2006-07	100	122	9	44	30	16	100	122	5	32	44	19	100	122	6	44	42	8
NM2007-08	99	24,364	8	43	34	15	99	24,367	7	32	52	8	99	24,357	2	48	45	4
NM2006-07	99	24,231	10	44	33	12	99	24,237	11	35	45	9	99	24,226	3	52	40	4

5th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	56	9	50	29	13	100	56	7	27	46	20	100	56	0	48	45	7
Male	100	63	5	54	27	14	100	63	3	29	59	10	100	63	2	48	49	2
Caucasian	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
Black	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	115	6	53	28	13	100	115	4	28	53	15	100	115	1	48	47	4
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD <sup>2</sup>	100	10	0	10	30	60	100	10	0	10	40	50	100	10	0	10	60	30
ELL <sup>3</sup>	100	91	5	49	31	14	100	91	5	30	46	19	100	91	1	48	45	5
FRLP <sup>4</sup>	100	119	7	52	28	13	100	119	5	28	53	14	100	119	1	48	47	4
Migrant	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***	***	3	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	119	7	52	28	13	100	119	5	28	53	14	100	119	1	48	47	4
All 2006-07	99	118	9	43	34	13	99	118	3	29	49	18	99	118	1	44	47	8
NM2007-08	99	24,274	11	45	35	8	99	24,274	9	32	48	12	99	24,270	4	43	49	4
NM2006-07	99	23,998	14	45	32	9	99	24,013	7	29	51	12	99	23,998	3	41	51	5

<sup>1</sup> - includes Alaskan Natives    <sup>2</sup> - SWD - Students with Disabilities    <sup>3</sup> - ELL - English Language Learners    <sup>4</sup> - Economically Disadvantaged

# State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

6th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	98	57	4	44	42	9	98	57	0	18	60	21	98	56	0	18	63	18
Male	98	63	6	25	51	16	97	63	2	13	73	10	97	63	0	16	63	17
Caucasian	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***	***	5	***	***	***	***
Black	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	99	112	5	35	46	13	98	112	1	15	66	16	98	111	0	16	64	18
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD <sup>2</sup>	93	14	0	7	0	86	93	14	0	0	29	64	93	14	0	0	14	79
ELL <sup>3</sup>	100	77	8	35	44	13	100	77	1	16	70	13	99	77	0	17	66	16
FRLP <sup>4</sup>	98	120	5	34	47	13	98	120	1	15	67	15	97	119	0	17	63	18
Migrant	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	98	120	5	34	47	13	98	120	1	15	67	15	97	119	0	17	63	18
All 2006-07	98	116	2	37	48	11	99	115	1	7	77	15	99	115	2	19	66	12
NM2007-08	99	23,666	6	37	46	11	99	23,666	5	23	58	13	99	23,653	3	28	56	13
NM2006-07	99	24,110	5	33	48	15	99	24,119	5	22	52	21	99	24,115	2	32	53	12

7th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	66	3	41	47	9	98	66	0	9	64	26	100	66	0	18	58	24
Male	100	55	2	42	45	11	98	55	4	5	62	27	96	55	2	18	55	22
Caucasian	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***	***	2	***	***	***	***
Black	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	118	3	42	46	10	98	118	2	8	64	25	98	118	1	19	56	23
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
SWD <sup>2</sup>	100	14	0	29	43	29	93	14	0	0	21	71	86	14	0	0	43	43
ELL <sup>3</sup>	100	94	3	45	45	7	99	94	2	9	67	21	99	94	1	21	55	21
FRLP <sup>4</sup>	100	121	2	41	46	10	98	121	2	7	63	26	98	121	1	18	56	23
Migrant	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***	***	4	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	121	2	41	46	10	98	121	2	7	63	26	98	121	1	18	56	23
All 2006-07	100	139	2	42	45	11	100	138	2	9	51	38	99	138	1	10	66	22
NM2007-08	99	23,964	8	43	37	12	95	23,964	6	24	51	18	99	23,954	2	31	51	15
NM2006-07	99	24,666	8	42	39	10	99	24,663	5	20	49	25	99	24,654	2	28	51	18

# State Assessments Results for District (excluding charter schools)

8th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	100	67	1	66	28	4	99	67	0	22	66	10	99	67	0	3	73	22
Male	100	65	2	45	42	12	97	65	0	22	57	18	100	65	0	14	66	20
Caucasian	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***	***	6	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	100	125	1	57	34	9	98	125	0	21	62	14	100	125	0	8	70	22
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD <sup>2</sup>	100	18	0	11	44	44	94	18	0	0	56	39	94	18	0	6	39	50
ELL <sup>3</sup>	100	107	2	60	31	7	98	107	0	24	60	14	100	107	0	10	68	21
FRLP <sup>4</sup>	100	132	2	55	35	8	98	132	0	22	61	14	99	132	0	8	70	21
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	100	132	2	55	35	8	98	132	0	22	61	14	99	132	0	8	70	21
All 2006-07	99	165	0	41	42	16	99	165	0	14	53	33	99	165	0	11	71	17
NM2007-08	99	24,576	5	58	29	7	99	24,579	7	30	49	14	99	24,564	1	25	58	15
NM2006-07	99	25,103	3	53	34	10	99	25,102	5	25	50	19	99	25,083	1	23	62	14

<sup>1</sup> - includes Alaskan Natives <sup>2</sup> - SWD - Students with Disabilities <sup>3</sup> - ELL - English Language Learners <sup>4</sup> - Economically Disadvantaged

11th Grade		READING					MATHEMATICS					SCIENCE						
Reporting Group	Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level						Percent at Each Proficiency Level					
	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Percent Participating	Number Tested	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step
Female	96	83	2	33	55	6	94	83	0	8	41	45	92	83	0	7	75	10
Male	99	69	1	29	48	20	100	69	4	10	38	48	96	69	0	23	64	9
Caucasian	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
Black	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Hispanic	97	150	2	31	52	13	97	150	2	9	39	47	94	150	0	14	71	9
Asian	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
Am.Indian <sup>1</sup>	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***	***	1	***	***	***	***
SWD <sup>2</sup>	90	21	5	0	33	52	86	21	0	0	24	62	81	21	0	5	48	29
ELL <sup>3</sup>	99	107	1	28	60	10	98	107	1	7	42	48	95	107	0	10	78	7
FRLP <sup>4</sup>	97	152	2	31	52	13	97	152	2	9	39	46	93	152	0	14	70	9
Migrant	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***	***	0	***	***	***	***
All 2007-08	97	152	2	31	52	13	97	152	2	9	39	46	93	152	0	14	70	9
All 2006-07	98	130	3	32	41	22	98	131	2	12	50	34	***	2	***	***	***	***
NM2007-08	98	20,363	6	44	36	12	98	20,365	9	25	40	25	96	20,104	2	32	55	7
NM2006-07	98	19,936	7	41	36	15	97	19,939	7	25	44	22						

<sup>1</sup> - includes Alaskan Natives <sup>2</sup> - SWD - Students with Disabilities <sup>3</sup> - ELL - English Language Learners <sup>4</sup> - Economically Disadvantaged

## School Board Member Participation\*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Garcia, Ralph	1
Gold, Gary	8
Lopez, Caroline	13.5
Ludi, Christine	20
Lujan, Kenny	24

Source: 2007-08 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

## Data on School Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational moneys only for 2007-2008

	Expenditure	Percent
<b>Direct Instruction</b>	<b>8,372,002</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Instructional Support Services</b>	<b>7,591,192</b>	<b>48</b>
Students	1,393,158	18
Instruction	466,313	6
General Administration	806,832	11
School Administration	1,572,459	21
Central Services	526,330	7
Operations & Maintenance	2,743,579	36
Student Transportation	46,192	1
<b>Non-Instructional Support</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Food Services	0	0
Community Services	0	0
<b>Capital Outlay</b>	<b>4,960</b>	<b>&lt;1</b>
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>15,968,154</b>	

Source: End of year school-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

## Teacher Quality Data\*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 0.14% DISTRICT: 0.00%

	STATEWIDE	DISTRICT
High Poverty Schools	5.0%	7.1%
Low Poverty Schools	4.2%	N/A

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*
STATE WIDE	22,391	58.5%	41.6%	4.5%
DISTRICT WIDE	127	74.8	24.8	7.1
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	14	100.0	0.0	0.0
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	16	93.8	6.3	8.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	14	78.6	21.4	0.0
UNION ELEMENTARY	9	88.9	11.1	0.0
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	9	88.9	11.1	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	5	60.0	40.0	0.0
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	37	62.2	37.8	2.8
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	26	69.2	30.8	18.1
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	10	80.0	20.0	***

\* See explanation of data source on last page.

Note: Difference in teacher totals and school totals may occur because of district assignments.

\*\*\* = missing or not available

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent \*\*\*=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
STATE WIDE TOTALS	1	19582	34540	3417	1294	2665	1509	31.1	54.8	5.4	2.1	4.2	2.4
STATE WIDE TOTALS	2	17719	33550	5878	2304	2385	1173	28.1	53.2	9.3	3.7	3.8	1.9
STATE WIDE TOTALS	3	21424	33361	3469	1157	2215	1383	34.0	52.9	5.5	1.8	3.5	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	4	21186	31955	5073	1664	1409	1722	33.6	50.7	8.1	2.6	2.2	2.7
STATE WIDE TOTALS	5	17564	32541	3882	1388	6237	1398	27.9	51.6	6.2	2.2	9.9	2.2
STATE WIDE TOTALS	6	18032	33792	4592	2096	3031	1467	28.6	53.6	7.3	3.3	4.8	2.3
STATE WIDE TOTALS	7	13947	30216	8112	2907	5314	2515	22.1	48.0	12.9	4.6	8.4	4.0
STATE WIDE TOTALS	8	25857	29653	3433	1446	952	1669	41.0	47.1	5.4	2.3	1.5	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	9	18353	34063	3889	1619	3788	1671	29.0	53.7	6.1	2.6	6.0	2.6
STATE WIDE TOTALS	10	21851	33306	4095	1156	1027	1574	34.7	52.9	6.5	1.8	1.6	2.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	1	158	246	14	2	9	5	36.4	56.7	3.2	0.5	2.1	1.2
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	2	107	248	52	15	7	5	24.7	57.1	12.0	3.5	1.6	1.2
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	3	159	234	24	6	4	7	36.6	53.9	5.5	1.4	0.9	1.6
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	4	186	213	19	7	4	5	42.9	49.1	4.4	1.6	0.9	1.2
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	5	125	252	32	7	15	3	28.8	58.1	7.4	1.6	3.5	0.7
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	6	149	245	22	6	7	5	34.3	56.5	5.1	1.4	1.6	1.2
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	7	109	248	53	6	10	8	25.1	57.1	12.2	1.4	2.3	1.8
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	8	212	199	17	2	2	2	48.8	45.9	3.9	0.5	0.5	0.5
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	9	154	241	17	3	12	7	35.5	55.5	3.9	0.7	2.8	1.6
DISTRICT WIDE TOTALS	10	178	218	20	2	7	9	41.0	50.2	4.6	0.5	1.6	2.1
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	1	59	55	4	0	2	1	48.8	45.5	3.3	0.0	1.7	0.8
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	2	43	67	7	1	3	0	35.5	55.4	5.8	0.8	2.5	0.0
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	3	56	63	1	0	1	0	46.3	52.1	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	4	65	54	1	0	1	0	53.7	44.6	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	5	46	67	3	0	5	0	38.0	55.4	2.5	0.0	4.1	0.0
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	6	60	60	0	0	0	1	49.6	49.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.  
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.  
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.  
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.  
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.  
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.  
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.  
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.  
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.  
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent \*\*\*=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	7	45	70	4	0	2	0	37.2	57.9	3.3	0.0	1.7	0.0
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	8	72	48	0	0	1	0	59.5	39.7	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	9	55	62	1	0	2	1	45.5	51.2	0.8	0.0	1.7	0.8
DON CECILIO MTZ ELEM	10	59	56	2	0	4	0	48.8	46.3	1.7	0.0	3.3	0.0
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	5	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	6	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	7	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	8	1	1	0	0	0	1	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	9	1	1	0	0	0	1	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
LUIS E. ARMIJO ELEM	10	0	2	0	0	0	1	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	1	37	44	2	0	1	0	44.0	52.4	2.4	0.0	1.2	0.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	2	24	55	5	0	0	0	28.6	65.5	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	3	29	51	2	0	1	1	34.5	60.7	2.4	0.0	1.2	1.2
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	4	41	41	2	0	0	0	48.8	48.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	5	24	52	6	0	2	0	28.6	61.9	7.1	0.0	2.4	0.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	6	34	45	4	0	1	0	40.5	53.6	4.8	0.0	1.2	0.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	7	23	49	10	0	1	1	27.4	58.3	11.9	0.0	1.2	1.2
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	8	46	34	3	0	1	0	54.8	40.5	3.6	0.0	1.2	0.0
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	9	34	45	4	0	0	1	40.5	53.6	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.2
TONY SERNA JR. ELEM	10	32	45	5	0	1	1	38.1	53.6	6.0	0.0	1.2	1.2
UNION ELEMENTARY	1	14	29	1	0	0	1	31.1	64.4	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.2
UNION ELEMENTARY	2	6	13	18	8	0	0	13.3	28.9	40.0	17.8	0.0	0.0

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent \*\*\*=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
UNION ELEMENTARY	3	24	18	2	0	0	1	53.3	40.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	2.2
UNION ELEMENTARY	4	20	20	4	1	0	0	44.4	44.4	8.9	2.2	0.0	0.0
UNION ELEMENTARY	5	10	29	3	2	1	0	22.2	64.4	6.7	4.4	2.2	0.0
UNION ELEMENTARY	6	18	23	2	0	1	1	40.0	51.1	4.4	0.0	2.2	2.2
UNION ELEMENTARY	7	8	22	12	2	0	1	17.8	48.9	26.7	4.4	0.0	2.2
UNION ELEMENTARY	8	31	14	0	0	0	0	68.9	31.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UNION ELEMENTARY	9	21	23	0	0	0	1	46.7	51.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2
UNION ELEMENTARY	10	26	18	0	0	0	1	57.8	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	1	15	21	0	0	1	1	39.5	55.3	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	2	8	25	3	1	1	0	21.1	65.8	7.9	2.6	2.6	0.0
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	3	9	24	1	1	2	1	23.7	63.2	2.6	2.6	5.3	2.6
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	4	16	19	1	1	0	1	42.1	50.0	2.6	2.6	0.0	2.6
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	5	9	25	1	0	2	1	23.7	65.8	2.6	0.0	5.3	2.6
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	6	10	23	2	1	1	1	26.3	60.5	5.3	2.6	2.6	2.6
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	7	7	17	7	1	3	3	18.4	44.7	18.4	2.6	7.9	7.9
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	8	22	15	1	0	0	0	57.9	39.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	9	9	23	3	1	1	1	23.7	60.5	7.9	2.6	2.6	2.6
VALLEY ELEMENTARY	10	17	19	0	0	0	2	44.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3
VALLEY MIDDLE	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	2	0	4	1	1	0	0	0.0	66.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	3	1	2	2	0	0	1	16.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7
VALLEY MIDDLE	4	0	6	0	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	5	0	3	2	1	0	0	0.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	7	0	4	2	0	0	0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	8	1	5	0	0	0	0	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.  
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.  
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.  
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.  
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.  
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.  
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.  
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.  
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.  
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent \*\*\*=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
VALLEY MIDDLE	9	0	5	1	0	0	0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
VALLEY MIDDLE	10	1	4	0	0	0	1	16.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	1	5	24	0	0	2	1	15.6	75.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	3.1
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	2	8	21	3	0	0	0	25.0	65.6	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	3	8	17	4	2	0	1	25.0	53.1	12.5	6.3	0.0	3.1
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	4	8	16	4	2	1	1	25.0	50.0	12.5	6.3	3.1	3.1
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	5	7	16	6	1	1	1	21.9	50.0	18.8	3.1	3.1	3.1
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	6	3	21	3	2	3	0	9.4	65.6	9.4	6.3	9.4	0.0
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	7	6	22	3	0	0	1	18.8	68.8	9.4	0.0	0.0	3.1
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	8	7	20	3	1	0	1	21.9	62.5	9.4	3.1	0.0	3.1
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	9	6	18	2	1	3	2	18.8	56.3	6.3	3.1	9.4	6.3
W LAS VEGAS HIGH	10	8	21	2	0	0	1	25.0	65.6	6.3	0.0	0.0	3.1
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	1	22	58	7	2	3	0	23.9	63.0	7.6	2.2	3.3	0.0
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	2	14	54	14	4	3	3	15.2	58.7	15.2	4.3	3.3	3.3
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	3	25	52	11	3	0	1	27.2	56.5	12.0	3.3	0.0	1.1
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	4	29	51	6	3	2	1	31.5	55.4	6.5	3.3	2.2	1.1
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	5	24	53	11	2	2	0	26.1	57.6	12.0	2.2	2.2	0.0
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	6	18	58	11	3	1	1	19.6	63.0	12.0	3.3	1.1	1.1
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	7	18	55	12	3	3	1	19.6	59.8	13.0	3.3	3.3	1.1
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	8	24	58	9	1	0	0	26.1	63.0	9.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	9	22	58	6	1	5	0	23.9	63.0	6.5	1.1	5.4	0.0
W LAS VEGAS MIDDLE	10	31	46	9	2	2	2	33.7	50.0	9.8	2.2	2.2	2.2
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education Spring 2008)

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

#=Number %=Percent \*\*\*=indicates no data reported

Q# =Question Number SA=Strongly Agree A=Agree D=Disagree SD=Strongly Disagree K=Do not know O=No Opinion

	Q#	#SA	#A	#D	#SD	#K	#O	%SA	%A	%D	%SD	%K	%O
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WEST LAS VEGAS DIST	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	1	3	9	0	0	0	0	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	2	3	7	1	0	0	1	25.0	58.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	3	6	5	1	0	0	0	50.0	41.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	4	5	5	1	0	0	1	41.7	41.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	5	4	5	0	1	2	0	33.3	41.7	0.0	8.3	16.7	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	6	5	7	0	0	0	0	41.7	58.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	7	2	7	2	0	1	0	16.7	58.3	16.7	0.0	8.3	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	8	7	4	1	0	0	0	58.3	33.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	9	5	6	0	0	1	0	41.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSH	10	3	7	2	0	0	0	25.0	58.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

## Data Definitions and Explanations

### Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

SWD- Students with Disabilities.

### Elementary & Secondary Act District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
  - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
  - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
  - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

**How can a school be removed from improvement status?** By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

### Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to demonstrate proficiency. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

### Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the STARS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2007-08 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" also comes from the report obtained from the STARS 120th day for 2007-08, highly qualified teacher report generated on 2/18/2009.

### Feeder School Methodology

Feeder schools are kindergarten through second grade schools, and their students do not take the standards-based tests. ESEA requires that all schools be rated for Adequate Yearly Progress, including feeder schools. These schools are rated on the performance of third grade students who once attended there.

### High and Low Poverty Schools

High Poverty Schools: Schools with the most students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Top 25%).

Low Poverty Schools: Schools with the fewest students eligible for Free or Reduced Lunch (Bottom 25%).