



## NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND SUMMARY

New Mexico Statewide NCLB Determination: **AYP not Met**

District NCLB Determination: **AYP Not Met**

	Total Number	Percent
Schools that Met AYP	7	64
Schools in School Improvement	3	27
Schools in Corrective Action	0	0
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

### What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB), Act of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute Article 2C says, "The state shall institute an 'adequate yearly progress program' that measures public schools' improvements..."

### What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

### Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, Native American; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (Special Ed), and English Language Learners (ELL).

## NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND 2004 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)

Schools in District	Determination	Sanctions
BELEN HS	AYP Not Met	None
BELEN INFINITY HS	AYP Not Met	None
BELEN MS	AYP Not Met	SI-2
CENTRAL ES	Meets AYP	None
DENNIS CHAVEZ ES	Meets AYP	None
GIL SANCHEZ ES	Meets AYP	None
JARAMILLO ES*	Meets AYP	SI-2 delay
LA MERCED ES	Meets AYP	None
LA PROMESA ES	AYP Not Met	SI-1
RIO GRANDE ES	Meets AYP	None
THE FAMILY SCHOOL	Meets AYP	None

## 2003-2004 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS\*

	BELEN PUBLIC SCHOOLS		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	2,390	49	156,715	49
Male	2,483	51	166,351	51
Caucasian	1,417	29	105,823	33
African-American	91	2	7,833	2
Hispanic	3,267	67	169,453	52
Asian/Pacific	27	1	3,833	1
Native American	71	1	36,124	11
ELL	81	2	54,528	17
Special Education	1,039	21	51,111	16
Migrant	0	0	2,382	1
Total	4,873	100	323,066	100

\*Source: ADS 40th day submission to Public Education Department.

\*Feeder school methodology rating. See explanation on last page.

# NCLB ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools.

Results are not reported for subgroups with less than 25 students and is indicated by \*\*\*.

	Academic Indicator	NCLB Goal	ALL Students	PERCENT OF STUDENTS							
				Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	Native American	FRLP	ELL	Special Education
====> STATE WIDE <====	Reading Proficiency	41	53	71	47	46	68	36	43	31	21
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Reading Proficiency	41	46	54	***	42	***	***	43	21	10
BELEN HS	Reading Proficiency	44	53	66	***	47	***	***	50	**	19
BELEN INFINITY HS	Reading Proficiency	44	29	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***
BELEN MS	Reading Proficiency	45	42	55	***	36	***	***	38	**	4
CENTRAL ES	Reading Proficiency	34	35	***	***	41	***	***	35	**	***
DENNIS CHAVEZ ES	Reading Proficiency	34	43	***	***	40	***	***	34	**	***
GIL SANCHEZ ES	Reading Proficiency	34	58	***	***	59	***	***	58	**	***
JARAMILLO ES	Reading Proficiency	34	35	***	***	41	***	***	35	**	***
LA MERCED ES	Reading Proficiency	34	59	***	***	56	***	***	63	**	***
LA PROMESA ES	Reading Proficiency	34	27	***	***	28	***	***	27	**	***
RIO GRANDE ES	Reading Proficiency	34	59	***	***	***	***	***	59	**	***
THE FAMILY SCHOOL	Reading Proficiency	39	36	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Math Proficiency	37	50	68	41	42	72	35	41	30	22
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Math Proficiency	37	33	40	***	29	***	***	31	9	8
BELEN HS	Math Proficiency	35	30	38	***	25	***	***	31	**	10
BELEN INFINITY HS	Math Proficiency	35	5	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***
BELEN MS	Math Proficiency	37	28	41	***	22	***	***	22	**	1
CENTRAL ES	Math Proficiency	38	33	***	***	36	***	***	33	**	***
DENNIS CHAVEZ ES	Math Proficiency	38	41	***	***	40	***	***	34	**	***
GIL SANCHEZ ES	Math Proficiency	38	47	***	***	44	***	***	47	**	***
JARAMILLO ES	Math Proficiency	38	33	***	***	36	***	***	33	**	***
LA MERCED ES	Math Proficiency	38	54	***	***	50	***	***	54	**	***
LA PROMESA ES	Math Proficiency	38	18	***	***	19	***	***	18	**	***
RIO GRANDE ES	Math Proficiency	38	53	***	***	***	***	***	53	**	***
THE FAMILY SCHOOL	Math Proficiency	38	29	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Attendance Rate	92	95	96	96	95	97	93	**	94	94
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Attendance Rate	92	92	92	***	92	***	***	**	94	90
BELEN MS	Attendance Rate	92	94	94	***	94	***	***	**	**	92
CENTRAL ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	95	***	***	**	**	***
DENNIS CHAVEZ ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	95	***	***	**	**	***
GIL SANCHEZ ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	95	***	***	**	**	***
JARAMILLO ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	95	95	95	96	96	**	94	95
LA MERCED ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	95	***	95	***	***	**	**	***

# NCLB ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools.

Results are not reported for subgroups with less than 25 students and is indicated by \*\*\*.

	Academic Indicator	NCLB Goal	ALL Students	PERCENT OF STUDENTS							
				Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	Native American	FRLP	ELL	Special Education
LA PROMESA ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	95	***	***	**	**	***
RIO GRANDE ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***
THE FAMILY SCHOOL	Attendance Rate	92	97	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Grad Rate	75	89	91	93	89	91	81	**	73	78
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Grad Rate	75	88	89	***	87	***	***	**	**	83
BELEN HS	Grad Rate	75	87	88	***	86	***	***	**	**	81
BELEN INFINITY HS	Grad Rate	75	100	***	***	***	***	***	**	**	***

# ASSESSMENTS

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 4th and 8th grade by the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment (NMSBA), the SUPERA for Spanish speaking students, and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. These assessment were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2004 . Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by \*\*\*. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

## 4TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid			Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	189	7	43	33	16	0	Gender	Female	6	34	28	32	0
	Male	100	169	5	36	27	32	0		Male	11	31	21	37	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	97	5	42	27	26	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	9	35	24	32	0
	African-American	100	9	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	247	7	39	31	23	0		Hispanic	8	33	26	34	0
	Asian/Pacific	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***
	Native American	80	4	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***
Status	Special Education	91	61	3	11	39	46	0	Status	Special Education	2	15	16	67	0
	ELL*	100	26	4	12	19	65	0		ELL*	0	4	12	85	0
	Low Income	****	318	6	39	31	25	0		Low Income	7	32	24	37	0
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***
All Students	2003-04	100	358	6	40	30	24	0	All Students	2003-04	8	33	25	34	0
	2002-03	****	373	5	28	34	33	0		2002-03	5	31	27	37	1
NM State	2003-04	99	24,286	8	41	31	20	0	NM State	2003-04	12	43	22	22	0
	2002-03	****	25,186	7	38	30	24	1		2002-03	11	40	23	25	1

## 8TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid			Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	99	187	9	39	32	20	0	Gender	Female	2	26	24	49	0
	Male	98	197	2	34	37	28	0		Male	5	24	24	46	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	97	115	11	41	33	15	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	4	34	24	37	0
	African-American	100	8	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	100	257	2	33	36	28	0		Hispanic	2	20	26	51	0
	Asian/Pacific	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***
	Native American	100	6	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***
Status	Special Education	97	86	0	5	30	64	1	Status	Special Education	1	1	9	87	1
	ELL*	100	7	***	***	***	***	***		ELL*	***	***	***	***	***
	Low Income	****	252	2	35	36	26	0		Low Income	2	20	27	51	1
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***
All Students	2003-04	99	387	5	35	35	24	0	All Students	2003-04	3	25	25	47	1
	2002-03	****	445	10	35	23	31	1		2002-03	3	29	24	43	1
NM State	2003-04	98	25,110	12	44	28	16	1	NM State	2003-04	8	40	22	28	1
	2002-03	****	25,108	12	39	27	21	1		2002-03	7	38	21	32	1

\*ELL - English Language Learners

## ASSESSMENTS cont'd

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 11th grade by the New Mexico Standards High School Standards Assessment (NMHSSA) and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. These assessments were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during November 2003 and the information is reported by subgroups.

Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by \*\*\*. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

### 11TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	93	154	12	44	35	9	0	Gender	Female	1	26	56	17	0		
	Male	88	122	15	34	33	18	0	Gender	Male	10	27	49	15	0		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	90	85	18	47	24	11	1	Ethnicity	Caucasian	8	29	42	12	8		
	African-American	100	3	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	91	183	11	35	39	14	1		Hispanic	3	21	54	17	6		
	Asian/Pacific	80	4	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***		
	Native American	100	2	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	66	31	6	10	35	48	0	Status	Special Education	6	0	45	35	13		
	ELL*	25	1	***	***	***	***	***		ELL*	***	***	***	***	***		
	Low Income	****	116	9	39	36	14	2		Low Income	7	22	46	18	7		
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	92	279	13	39	34	13	1	All Students	2003-04	5	24	49	15	7		
NM State	2003-04	95	20,246	18	37	30	13	2	NM State	2003-04	10	35	39	13	2		

\*ELL - English Language Learners

### School Board Member Participation\*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Chavez, R. Sam	8
Goldberg, Jamie	5
Luna, Julian	8
Pino, Adrian	9
Quintana, Dolores	15

Source: 2003-04 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

\* In addition to the training required by the NM State Board Association, many school board members attend additional training. The information provided here does not reflect other training that school board members have received.

### Data on District Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational monies only for 2003-2004.

	Expenditure	Percent
Direct Instruction	\$14,741,879	54
Instructional Support	\$5,832,995	22
Administration	\$1,138,536	4
Business and Support Services	\$548,826	2
Operations/Maintenance of Plant	\$3,592,531	13
Food Services	\$0	0
Athletics	\$533,883	2
Non-Instructional Student Support	\$73,037	0
Community Services	\$133,528	0
Transportation Services	\$0	0
Capital Outlay	\$531,533	2
Total	\$27,126,750	100

Source: End of year district-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

## Teacher Quality Data\*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials:		STATE WIDE	4 %	DISTRICT	2 %
	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers* Spring 2004    Fall 2004	
====> STATE WIDE <====		59	38	71	75
DISTRICT WIDE	****	67	29	72	73
BELEN HS	72	65	31	84	75
BELEN INFINITY HS	3	33	67	69	100
BELEN MS	54	72	28	39	36
CENTRAL ES	25	64	32	81	78
DENNIS CHAVEZ ES	28	64	29	76	93
GIL SANCHEZ ES	28	71	25	87	88
JARAMILLO ES	36	75	22	84	88
LA MERCED ES	37	68	30	86	94
LA PROMESA ES	19	53	42	68	79
RIO GRANDE ES	27	70	26	75	77
THE FAMILY SCHOOL	5	40	20	75	75

\* See explanation of data source on last page.

\*\*\* = missing or not available

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.  
 Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.  
 Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.  
 Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.  
 Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.  
 Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.  
 Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.  
 Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.  
 Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.  
 Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	No. of Valid Surveys	PERCENT OF RESPONSES THAT "STRONGLY AGREED" OR "AGREED"									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
STATE WIDE	125,583	85	80	84	82	72	70	69	87	80	88
====> DISTRICT WIDE <====	1,977	80	74	79	80	75	75	62	86	78	86
BELEN HS	277	48	53	53	43	67	46	72	58	50	78
BELEN INFINITY HS	4	75	50	100	25	100	75	25	100	75	100
BELEN MS	176	53	61	63	65	65	49	65	74	64	82
CENTRAL ES	157	85	50	83	83	77	79	65	94	88	85
DENNIS CHAVEZ ES	271	85	79	78	84	79	76	59	88	81	84
GIL SANCHEZ ES	148	91	88	95	95	73	92	49	97	88	94
JARAMILLO ES	258	91	88	88	89	84	91	63	93	85	90
LA MERCED ES	293	89	75	86	85	76	79	52	94	82	87
LA PROMESA ES	146	83	92	76	90	83	81	57	88	80	81
RIO GRANDE ES	215	92	84	93	91	81	89	75	97	90	89
THE FAMILY SCHOOL	32	97	82	100	100	27	91	28	100	97	97

## Data Definitions and Explanations

### Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

### No Child Left Behind District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
  - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
  - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
  - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

**How can a school be removed from improvement status?** By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

### Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to correctly answer each question or item. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

### Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the ADS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2003-04 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" comes from two reports:

For the Spring 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 120th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 11/24/2004.

For the Fall 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 40th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 1/2/2005.

The PED has taken steps to ensure that free and reduced lunch data will be available and reported correctly on next year's report card.

### Feeder School Methodology

Schools without students enrolled in the grades where standards-based tests were administered in the 2003-04 school year were evaluated based upon the performance of students tested in feeder schools e.g., those schools at the next higher grade level in the district.