

**NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND**

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

**What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?**

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB), Act of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute Article 2C says, "The state shall institute an 'adequate yearly progress program' that measures public schools' improvements..."

**What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?**

Schools need to:

- Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

**Who has to meet AYP?**

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, Native American; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (Special Ed), and English Language Learners (ELL).

- District Student Demographics
- No Child Left Behind District Summary
- No Child Left Behind Accountability by Subgroup
- 4th, 8th, and 11th Grade Assessments
- School Board Member Participation
- Data on District Expenditures
- Teacher Quality Data
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

**NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND SUMMARY**New Mexico Statewide NCLB Determination: **AYP not Met**District NCLB Determination: **Meets AYP**

	Total Number	Percent
Schools that Met AYP	6	86
Schools in School Improvement	0	0
Schools in Corrective Action	0	0
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

**NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND****2004 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)**

Schools in District	Determination	Sanctions
ASPEN ES	Meets AYP	None
BARRANCA MESA ES	Meets AYP	None
CHAMISA ES	Meets AYP	None
LOS ALAMOS HS	AYP Not Met	None
LOS ALAMOS MS	Meets AYP	None
MOUNTAIN ES	Meets AYP	None
PINON ES	Meets AYP	None

**2003-2004 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS\***

	LOS ALAMOS PUBLIC SCHOOLS		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	1,797	49	156,715	49
Male	1,850	51	166,351	51
Caucasian	2,761	76	105,823	33
African-American	19	1	7,833	2
Hispanic	661	18	169,453	52
Asian/Pacific	176	5	3,833	1
Native American	30	1	36,124	11
ELL	57	2	54,528	17
Special Education	726	20	51,111	16
Migrant	0	0	2,382	1
Total	3,647	100	323,066	100

\* Source: ADS 40th day submission to Public Education Department.

\*Feeder school methodology rating. See explanation on last page.

## NCLB ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools.

Results are not reported for subgroups with less than 25 students and is indicated by \*\*\*.

	Academic Indicator	NCLB Goal	ALL Students	PERCENT OF STUDENTS							
				Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	Native American	FRLP	ELL	Special Education
====> STATE WIDE <====	Reading Proficiency	41	53	71	47	46	68	36	43	31	21
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Reading Proficiency	41	84	87	***	73	86	***	***	***	50
ASPEN ES	Reading Proficiency	34	56	65	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
BARRANCA MESA ES	Reading Proficiency	34	84	87	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
CHAMISA ES	Reading Proficiency	34	74	73	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
LOS ALAMOS HS	Reading Proficiency	44	92	93	***	86	***	***	***	***	55
LOS ALAMOS MS	Reading Proficiency	45	88	92	***	71	***	***	***	***	58
MOUNTAIN ES	Reading Proficiency	34	61	61	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
PINON ES	Reading Proficiency	34	90	89	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Math Proficiency	37	50	68	41	42	72	35	41	30	22
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Math Proficiency	37	84	88	***	67	93	***	***	***	49
ASPEN ES	Math Proficiency	38	46	62	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
BARRANCA MESA ES	Math Proficiency	38	84	83	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
CHAMISA ES	Math Proficiency	38	83	87	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
LOS ALAMOS HS	Math Proficiency	35	89	91	***	78	***	***	***	***	45
LOS ALAMOS MS	Math Proficiency	37	85	91	***	60	***	***	***	***	47
MOUNTAIN ES	Math Proficiency	38	84	82	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
PINON ES	Math Proficiency	38	92	89	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Attendance Rate	92	95	96	96	95	97	93	***	94	94
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Attendance Rate	92	97	97	***	96	98	***	***	***	96
ASPEN ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
BARRANCA MESA ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
CHAMISA ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
LOS ALAMOS MS	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	96	***	***	***	***	95
MOUNTAIN ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
PINON ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Grad Rate	75	89	91	93	89	91	81	***	73	78
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Grad Rate	75	91	92	***	90	92	***	***	***	91
LOS ALAMOS HS	Grad Rate	75	91	92	***	90	***	***	***	***	91

# ASSESSMENTS

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 4th and 8th grade by the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment (NMSBA), the SUPERA for Spanish speaking students, and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. These assessment were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2004. Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by \*\*\*. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

## 4TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	99	125	27	50	18	5	0	Gender	Female	40	39	12	9	0		
	Male	99	136	10	63	23	4	0		Male	33	46	13	7	0		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	99	194	21	57	19	4	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	39	43	13	5	0		
	African-American	100	2	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	100	52	10	58	25	8	0		Hispanic	23	46	13	17	0		
	Asian/Pacific	92	12	25	50	17	8	0		Asian/Pacific	58	33	0	8	0		
	Native American	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	100	69	3	41	43	13	0	Status	Special Education	12	42	23	23	0		
	ELL*	83	5	***	***	***	***	***		ELL*	***	***	***	***	***		
	Low Income	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Low Income	***	***	***	***	***		
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	99	261	18	56	21	5	0	All Students	2003-04	36	43	13	8	0		
	2002-03	****	259	20	58	15	6	1		2002-03	42	41	11	6	0		
NM State	2003-04	99	24,286	8	41	31	20	0	NM State	2003-04	12	43	22	22	0		
	2002-03	****	25,186	7	38	30	24	1		2002-03	11	40	23	25	1		

## 8TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	139	42	46	9	3	0	Gender	Female	37	49	9	5	1		
	Male	100	147	29	59	9	3	0		Male	39	44	14	3	0		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	99	219	39	53	6	2	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	42	49	7	2	0		
	African-American	100	2	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	100	53	17	55	19	9	0		Hispanic	21	38	26	13	2		
	Asian/Pacific	100	8	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***		
	Native American	100	4	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	100	55	5	53	31	11	0	Status	Special Education	9	38	35	16	2		
	ELL*	100	2	***	***	***	***	***		ELL*	***	***	***	***	***		
	Low Income	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Low Income	***	***	***	***	***		
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	100	286	35	53	9	3	0	All Students	2003-04	38	47	11	4	0		
	2002-03	****	304	47	37	12	3	0		2002-03	34	48	11	7	0		
NM State	2003-04	98	25,110	12	44	28	16	1	NM State	2003-04	8	40	22	28	1		
	2002-03	****	25,108	12	39	27	21	1		2002-03	7	38	21	32	1		

\*ELL - English Language Learners

## ASSESSMENTS (continued)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 11th grade by the New Mexico High School Standards Assessment (NMHSSA) and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. The NMHSSA was developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during November 2003 and the information is reported by subgroups. Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by \*\*\*. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

### 11TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid			Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	96	141	67	26	6	1	0	Gender	Female	33	55	8	4	0
	Male	95	132	60	30	10	1	0		Male	52	37	8	2	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	94	211	67	25	7	0	2	Ethnicity	Caucasian	45	44	7	2	2
	African-American	100	2	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	80	37	43	41	11	5	0		Hispanic	27	49	14	11	0
	Asian/Pacific	75	9	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***
	Native American	100	3	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***
Status	Special Education	92	34	18	32	41	3	6	Status	Special Education	6	35	35	18	6
	ELL*	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		ELL*	***	***	***	***	***
	Low Income	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Low Income	***	***	***	***	***
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***
All Students	2003-04	97	277	62	27	8	1	1	All Students	2003-04	42	46	8	3	1
NM State	2003-04	95	20,246	18	37	30	13	2	NM State	2003-04	10	35	39	13	2

\*ELL - English Language Learners

### School Board Member Participation\*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Asay, Blaine	0
Janecky, Louise	8
Johnson, Kenneth	7
Pontgratz, Morris	10
Schick, Michael	4

Source: 2003-04 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

\* In addition to the training required by the NM State Board Association, many school board members attend additional training. The information provided here does not reflect other training that school board members have received.

### Data on District Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational monies only for 2003-2004.

	Expenditure	Percent
Direct Instruction	\$16,688,400	56
Instructional Support	\$5,950,999	20
Administration	\$1,143,969	4
Business and Support Services	\$722,108	2
Operations/Maintenance of Plant	\$4,128,354	14
Food Services	\$0	0
Athletics	\$464,280	2
Non-Instructional Student Support	\$320,755	1
Community Services	\$96,071	0
Transportation Services	\$115,107	0
Capital Outlay	\$0	0
Total	\$29,630,043	100

Source: End of year district-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

## Teacher Quality Data\*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials:      STATE WIDE: 4 %      DISTRICT: 0 %

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*	
				Spring 2004	Fall 2004
====> STATE WIDE <====		59	38	71	75
DISTRICT WIDE	***	45	55	80	83
ASPEN ES	30	40	60	69	80
BARRANCA MESA ES	40	50	50	85	83
CHAMISA ES	25	60	40	71	78
LOS ALAMOS HS	82	37	63	85	83
LOS ALAMOS MS	54	43	57	70	85
MOUNTAIN ES	28	64	36	100	81
PINON ES	31	42	58	74	84

\* See explanation of data source on last page.

\*\*\* = missing or not available

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	No. of Valid Surveys	PERCENT OF RESPONSES THAT "STRONGLY AGREED" OR "AGREED"									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
STATE WIDE	125,583	85	80	84	82	77	80	69	87	80	88
====> DISTRICT WIDE <====	2,127	95	74	94	87	91	84	76	87	86	92
ASPEN ES	272	95	75	91	90	90	83	65	90	90	90
BARRANCA MESA ES	290	99	71	93	93	91	87	60	92	91	94
CHAMISA ES	158	93	75	94	89	86	83	81	87	83	91
LOS ALAMOS HS	586	94	69	96	90	92	86	91	86	86	95
LOS ALAMOS MS	343	92	71	93	69	87	73	76	76	73	90
MOUNTAIN ES	208	97	89	95	91	95	85	64	95	92	90
PINON ES	269	97	78	97	91	94	88	76	90	90	88

## Data Definitions and Explanations

### Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

### No Child Left Behind District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
  - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
  - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
  - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

**How can a school be removed from improvement status?** By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

### Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to correctly answer each question or item. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

### Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the ADS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2003-04 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" comes from two reports:

For the Spring 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 120th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 11/24/2004.

For the Fall 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 40th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 1/2/2005.

The PED has taken steps to ensure that free and reduced lunch data will be available and reported correctly on next year's report card.

### Feeder School Methodology

Schools without students enrolled in the grades where standards-based tests were administered in the 2003-04 school year were evaluated based upon the performance of students tested in feeder schools e.g., those schools at the next higher grade level in the district.