

**NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND**

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB), Act of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute Article 2C says, "The state shall institute an 'adequate yearly progress program' that measures public schools' improvements..."

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, Native American; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (Special Ed), and English Language Learners (ELL).

- District Student Demographics
- No Child Left Behind District Summary
- No Child Left Behind Accountability by Subgroup
- 4th, 8th, and 11th Grade Assessments
- School Board Member Participation
- Data on District Expenditures
- Teacher Quality Data
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND SUMMARYNew Mexico Statewide NCLB Determination: **AYP not Met**District NCLB Determination: **AYP Not Met**

	Total Number	Percent
Schools that Met AYP	6	75
Schools in School Improvement	0	0
Schools in Corrective Action	0	0
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND**2004 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)**

Schools in District	Determination	Sanctions
CLIFF ES	Meets AYP	None
CLIFF HS	Meets AYP	None
G.W.STOUT ES	Meets AYP	None
HARRISON SCHMITT ES	Meets AYP	None
JOSE BARRIOS ES	Meets AYP	None
LA PLATA MS	AYP Not Met	None
SILVER HS	AYP Not Met	None
SIXTH STREET ES	Meets AYP	None

2003-2004 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS*

	SILVER CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	1,522	46	156,715	49
Male	1,764	54	166,351	51
Caucasian	1,483	45	105,823	33
African-American	40	1	7,833	2
Hispanic	1,714	52	169,453	52
Asian/Pacific	25	1	3,833	1
Native American	24	1	36,124	11
ELL	284	9	54,528	17
Special Education	569	17	51,111	16
Migrant	0	0	2,382	1
Total	3,286	100	323,066	100

* Source: ADS 40th day submission to Public Education Department.

*Feeder school methodology rating. See explanation on last page.

NCLB ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools.

Results are not reported for subgroups with less than 25 students and is indicated by ***.

	Academic Indicator	NCLB Goal	ALL Students	PERCENT OF STUDENTS							ELL	Special Education
				Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	Native American	FRLP			
====> STATE WIDE <====	Reading Proficiency	41	53	71	47	46	68	36	43	31	21	
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Reading Proficiency	41	57	67	***	49	***	***	50	57	14	
CLIFF ES	Reading Proficiency	34	56	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
CLIFF HS	Reading Proficiency	45	81	86	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
G.W.STOUT ES	Reading Proficiency	34	52	***	***	48	***	***	47	***	***	
HARRISON SCHMITT ES	Reading Proficiency	34	60	75	***	48	***	***	47	***	***	
JOSE BARRIOS ES	Reading Proficiency	34	44	***	***	48	***	***	39	***	***	
LA PLATA MS	Reading Proficiency	45	54	66	***	45	***	***	41	***	17	
SILVER HS	Reading Proficiency	44	57	63	***	52	***	***	60	***	18	
SIXTH STREET ES	Reading Proficiency	34	70	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
====> STATE WIDE <====	Math Proficiency	37	50	68	41	42	72	35	41	30	22	
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Math Proficiency	37	55	65	***	47	***	***	53	54	15	
CLIFF ES	Math Proficiency	38	81	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
CLIFF HS	Math Proficiency	36	77	78	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
G.W.STOUT ES	Math Proficiency	38	53	***	***	43	***	***	50	***	***	
HARRISON SCHMITT ES	Math Proficiency	38	72	88	***	60	***	***	66	***	***	
JOSE BARRIOS ES	Math Proficiency	38	49	***	***	56	***	***	43	***	***	
LA PLATA MS	Math Proficiency	37	54	66	***	46	***	***	39	***	12	
SILVER HS	Math Proficiency	35	44	50	***	37	***	***	33	***	5	
SIXTH STREET ES	Math Proficiency	38	90	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
====> STATE WIDE <====	Attendance Rate	92	95	96	96	95	97	93	***	94	94	
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Attendance Rate	92	96	96	***	96	***	***	***	97	96	
CLIFF ES	Attendance Rate	92	94	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
CLIFF HS	Attendance Rate	92	93	92	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
G.W.STOUT ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	***	***	95	***	***	***	***	***	
HARRISON SCHMITT ES	Attendance Rate	92	97	97	***	97	***	***	***	***	***	
JOSE BARRIOS ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	95	***	***	***	***	***	
LA PLATA MS	Attendance Rate	92	97	97	***	96	***	***	***	***	96	
SIXTH STREET ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
====> STATE WIDE <====	Grad Rate	75	89	91	93	89	91	81	***	73	78	
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Grad Rate	75	87	90	***	82	***	***	***	100	84	
CLIFF HS	Grad Rate	75	100	100	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
SILVER HS	Grad Rate	75	85	87	***	82	***	***	***	***	84	

ASSESSMENTS

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 4th and 8th grade by the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment (NMSBA), the SUPERA for Spanish speaking students, and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. These assessment were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2004. Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

4TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	96	107	16	50	22	11	0	Gender	Female	15	50	16	19	0		
	Male	98	129	6	41	33	19	0		Male	15	50	18	18	0		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	97	88	13	50	25	13	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	19	56	16	9	0		
	African-American	100	2	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	98	143	9	41	31	18	0		Hispanic	12	46	17	25	0		
	Asian/Pacific	100	3	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***		
	Native American	100	3	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	95	36	0	8	36	56	0	Status	Special Education	0	25	19	56	0		
	ELL*	94	47	4	40	38	17	0		ELL*	6	36	26	32	0		
	Low Income	****	154	8	42	31	19	0		Low Income	12	50	16	22	0		
	Migrant	****	1	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	98	240	10	45	29	16	0	All Students	2003-04	15	50	17	18	0		
	2002-03	****	241	8	45	31	15	1		2002-03	14	44	26	15	1		
NM State	2003-04	99	24,286	8	41	31	20	0	NM State	2003-04	12	43	22	22	0		
	2002-03	****	25,186	7	38	30	24	1		2002-03	11	40	23	25	1		

8TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	95	89	16	48	25	11	0	Gender	Female	14	43	22	22	0		
	Male	97	110	7	45	30	18	0		Male	8	46	17	29	0		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	94	90	12	59	17	12	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	17	51	19	13	0		
	African-American	100	5	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	100	102	10	35	36	18	1		Hispanic	5	40	20	34	1		
	Asian/Pacific	67	2	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***		
	Native American	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	92	45	2	16	29	53	0	Status	Special Education	0	13	16	69	2		
	ELL*	100	9	***	***	***	***	***		ELL*	***	***	***	***	***		
	Low Income	****	90	8	38	30	23	1		Low Income	4	38	21	36	1		
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	97	200	11	46	28	15	1	All Students	2003-04	11	45	19	26	1		
	2002-03	****	245	11	51	21	16	0		2002-03	9	44	24	22	1		
NM State	2003-04	98	25,110	12	44	28	16	1	NM State	2003-04	8	40	22	28	1		
	2002-03	****	25,108	12	39	27	21	1		2002-03	7	38	21	32	1		

*ELL - English Language Learners

ASSESSMENTS (continued)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 11th grade by the New Mexico High School Standards Assessment (NMHSSA) and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. The NMHSSA was developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during November 2003 and the information is reported by subgroups. Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

11TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent at Each Proficiency Level	Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid								
Gender	Female	99	110	25	43	28	5	0	Gender	Female	14	33	41	13	0	
	Male	97	148	19	34	30	18	0		Male	13	34	41	12	0	
Ethnicity	Caucasian	87	122	26	40	23	11	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	20	34	35	7	2	
	African-American	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***	
	Hispanic	100	133	17	34	35	14	1		Hispanic	7	29	47	17	1	
	Asian/Pacific	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***	
	Native American	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***	
Status	Special Education	90	44	2	14	41	43	0	Status	Special Education	2	7	57	34	0	
	ELL*	100	18	33	44	22	0	0		ELL*	17	56	17	11	0	
	Low Income	****	40	23	38	30	10	0		Low Income	18	23	48	13	0	
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***	
All Students	2003-04	97	259	21	37	29	12	0	All Students	2003-04	13	33	41	12	2	
NM State	2003-04	95	20,246	18	37	30	13	2	NM State	2003-04	10	35	39	13	2	

*ELL - English Language Learners

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Laws, Thomas	6
Manzano, Yolanda	5
Reed, Patty	5
Russell, Sharman	1
Saenz, John	5

Source: 2003-04 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

* In addition to the training required by the NM State Board Association, many school board members attend additional training. The information provided here does not reflect other training that school board members have received.

Data on District Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational monies only for 2003-2004.

	Expenditure	Percent
Direct Instruction	\$12,472,893	60
Instructional Support	\$4,454,748	21
Administration	\$429,381	2
Business and Support Services	\$312,452	2
Operations/Maintenance of Plant	\$2,417,509	12
Food Services	\$0	0
Athletics	\$438,822	2
Non-Instructional Student Support	\$135,273	1
Community Services	\$1,630	0
Transportation Services	\$42,019	0
Capital Outlay	\$16,636	0
Total	\$20,721,362	100

Source: End of year district-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 4 % DISTRICT: 2 %

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*	
				Spring 2004	Fall 2004
====> STATE WIDE <====		59	38	71	75
DISTRICT WIDE	***	48	52	63	69
CLIFF ES	10	50	50	100	100
CLIFF HS	15	40	60	76	67
G.W.STOUT ES	30	47	53	100	100
HARRISON SCHMITT ES	36	42	58	86	100
JOSE BARRIOS ES	16	44	56	86	100
LA PLATA MS	51	43	57	40	53
SILVER HS	64	59	39	66	73
SIXTH STREET ES	16	44	56	100	100

* See explanation of data source on last page.

*** = missing or not available

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	No. of Valid Surveys	PERCENT OF RESPONSES THAT "STRONGLY AGREED" OR "AGREED"									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
STATE WIDE	125,583	85	80	84	82	77	80	69	87	80	88
====> DISTRICT WIDE <====	1,124	89	85	88	85	70	82	61	91	83	90
CLIFF ES	72	93	76	93	89	81	74	43	93	90	94
CLIFF HS	47	96	79	85	70	70	62	50	85	79	94
G.W.STOUT ES	240	90	93	91	91	55	84	50	92	88	88
HARRISON SCHMITT ES	240	92	88	91	94	78	86	64	96	86	92
JOSE BARRIOS ES	139	90	81	92	88	73	86	57	91	88	89
LA PLATA MS	220	81	81	84	78	71	81	79	87	76	87
SILVER HS	73	82	77	73	59	62	71	75	85	69	92
SIXTH STREET ES	93	94	91	81	87	75	82	60	90	83	86

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

No Child Left Behind District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to correctly answer each question or item. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the ADS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2003-04 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" comes from two reports:

For the Spring 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 120th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 11/24/2004.

For the Fall 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 40th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 1/2/2005.

The PED has taken steps to ensure that free and reduced lunch data will be available and reported correctly on next year's report card.

Feeder School Methodology

Schools without students enrolled in the grades where standards-based tests were administered in the 2003-04 school year were evaluated based upon the performance of students tested in feeder schools e.g., those schools at the next higher grade level in the district.