

**NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND**

THIS REPORT INCLUDES:

What is Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?

AYP is the annual academic proficiency targets in reading and math that the state, school districts and schools must reach to be considered on track for 100% proficiency by school year 2013-14. AYP is part of state and federal statute. The Federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB), Act of 2001 says that each state shall establish a timeline for adequate yearly progress. The timeline shall ensure that no later than 12 years after the 2001-2002 school year all students in each group described in the law will meet or exceed the state's proficient level of academic achievement. New Mexico Statute Article 2C says, "The state shall institute an 'adequate yearly progress program' that measures public schools' improvements..."

What do schools have to do in order to meet AYP?

Schools need to:

- Achieve a 95% participation rate on state assessments.
- Reach targets for proficiency or reduce non-proficiency.
- Reach targets for the attendance rate for elementary and middle schools and the graduation rate for high schools.

Who has to meet AYP?

The state, school districts, schools, and subgroups of 25 or more students within schools. The subgroups include Ethnicity/Race: Caucasian, African American, Asian/Pacific, Hispanic, Native American; as well as Economically Disadvantaged (FRLP), Students with Disabilities (Special Ed), and English Language Learners (ELL).

- District Student Demographics
- No Child Left Behind District Summary
- No Child Left Behind Accountability by Subgroup
- 4th, 8th, and 11th Grade Assessments
- School Board Member Participation
- Data on District Expenditures
- Teacher Quality Data
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND SUMMARYNew Mexico Statewide NCLB Determination: **AYP not Met**District NCLB Determination: **AYP Not Met**

	Total Number	Percent
Schools that Met AYP	9	100
Schools in School Improvement	0	0
Schools in Corrective Action	2	22
Schools in Restructuring	0	0

NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND**2004 DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY DATA (AYP)**

Schools in District	Determination	Sanctions
DON CECILIO MARTINEZ ES	Meets AYP	None
LUIS E. ARMIJO ES*	Meets AYP	None
TONY SERNA JR. ES	Meets AYP	None
UNION ES	Meets AYP	None
VALLEY ES	Meets AYP	CA-delay
VALLEY MS	Meets AYP	None
W LAS VEGAS HS	Meets AYP	None
W LAS VEGAS MS	Meets AYP	CA-delay
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	Meets AYP	None

2003-2004 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS*

	WEST LAS VEGAS PUBLIC SCHOOLS		STATE WIDE	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Female	975	48	156,715	49
Male	1,036	52	166,351	51
Caucasian	100	5	105,823	33
African-American	11	1	7,833	2
Hispanic	1,891	94	169,453	52
Asian/Pacific	0	0	3,833	1
Native American	9	0	36,124	11
ELL	1,341	67	54,528	17
Special Education	264	13	51,111	16
Migrant	0	0	2,382	1
Total	2,011	100	323,066	100

* Source: ADS 40th day submission to Public Education Department.

*Feeder school methodology rating. See explanation on last page.

NCLB ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) BY SUBGROUP

AYP data are based on students who have attended a school for a full academic year. In addition to reading and math scores, a third academic indicator is required. For elementary and middle schools, it is attendance rate, while graduation rate is used for high schools.

Results are not reported for subgroups with less than 25 students and is indicated by ***.

	Academic Indicator	NCLB Goal	ALL Students	PERCENT OF STUDENTS							
				Caucasian	African-American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific	Native American	FRLP	ELL	Special Education
====> STATE WIDE <====	Reading Proficiency	41	53	71	47	46	68	36	43	31	21
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Reading Proficiency	41	47	62	***	47	***	***	48	43	23
DON CECILIO MARTINEZ ES	Reading Proficiency	34	51	***	***	51	***	***	51	***	***
LUIS E. ARMIJO ES	Reading Proficiency	34	50	***	***	51	***	***	50	44	***
TONY SERNA JR. ES	Reading Proficiency	34	45	***	***	48	***	***	45	37	***
UNION ES	Reading Proficiency	34	56	***	***	56	***	***	***	***	***
VALLEY ES	Reading Proficiency	34	47	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
VALLEY MS	Reading Proficiency	45	50	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
W LAS VEGAS HS	Reading Proficiency	44	36	***	***	36	***	***	39	33	35
W LAS VEGAS MS	Reading Proficiency	45	54	***	***	53	***	***	53	50	***
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	Reading Proficiency	41	55	***	***	***	***	***	55	***	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Math Proficiency	37	50	68	41	42	72	35	41	30	22
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Math Proficiency	37	39	50	***	39	***	***	40	37	18
DON CECILIO MARTINEZ ES	Math Proficiency	38	51	***	***	51	***	***	51	***	***
LUIS E. ARMIJO ES	Math Proficiency	38	50	***	***	51	***	***	50	47	***
TONY SERNA JR. ES	Math Proficiency	38	40	***	***	42	***	***	40	34	***
UNION ES	Math Proficiency	38	68	***	***	68	***	***	***	***	***
VALLEY ES	Math Proficiency	38	35	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
VALLEY MS	Math Proficiency	37	36	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
W LAS VEGAS HS	Math Proficiency	35	35	***	***	35	***	***	35	31	30
W LAS VEGAS MS	Math Proficiency	37	38	***	***	37	***	***	38	37	***
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	Math Proficiency	37	34	***	***	***	***	***	34	***	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Attendance Rate	92	95	96	96	95	97	93	***	94	94
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Attendance Rate	92	94	93	***	94	***	***	***	94	94
DON CECILIO MARTINEZ ES	Attendance Rate	92	94	***	***	95	***	***	***	94	***
LUIS E. ARMIJO ES	Attendance Rate	92	93	90	***	94	***	91	***	93	93
TONY SERNA JR. ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	95	***	***	***	95	***
UNION ES	Attendance Rate	92	96	***	***	96	***	***	***	***	***
VALLEY ES	Attendance Rate	92	95	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
VALLEY MS	Attendance Rate	92	99	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
W LAS VEGAS MS	Attendance Rate	92	96	***	***	96	***	***	***	95	***
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	Attendance Rate	92	96	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
====> STATE WIDE <====	Grad Rate	75	89	91	93	89	91	81	***	73	78
-----DISTRICT WIDE-----	Grad Rate	75	86	100	***	85	***	***	***	100	100
W LAS VEGAS HS	Grad Rate	75	83	***	***	83	***	***	***	100	***
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	Grad Rate	75	100	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***

ASSESSMENTS

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 4th and 8th grade by the New Mexico Standards Based Assessment (NMSBA), the SUPERA for Spanish speaking students, and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. These assessment were developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during March 2004. Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

4TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	100	67	10	55	24	10	0	Gender	Female	4	52	21	22	0		
	Male	98	78	5	29	41	23	1		Male	5	38	26	29	1		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	6	***	***	***	***	***	Ethnicity	Caucasian	***	***	***	***	***		
	African-American	****	1	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	98	138	7	42	33	17	1		Hispanic	4	45	24	26	1		
	Asian/Pacific	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***		
	Native American	****	1	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	83	19	0	5	26	63	5	Status	Special Education	0	11	37	47	5		
	ELL*	97	99	4	38	42	15	0		ELL*	2	43	27	27	0		
	Low Income	****	143	8	42	33	17	1		Low Income	4	45	23	27	1		
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	99	146	8	42	33	17	1	All Students	2003-04	5	45	24	26	1		
	2002-03	****	172	3	41	31	24	1		2002-03	6	42	24	27	1		
NM State	2003-04	99	24,286	8	41	31	20	0	NM State	2003-04	12	43	22	22	0		
	2002-03	****	25,186	7	38	30	24	1		2002-03	11	40	23	25	1		

8TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid					Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	99	79	6	51	27	16	0	Gender	Female	8	35	22	35	0		
	Male	100	95	4	45	32	19	0		Male	0	29	24	46	0		
Ethnicity	Caucasian	100	13	0	69	23	8	0	Ethnicity	Caucasian	23	23	31	23	0		
	African-American	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***		
	Hispanic	100	162	6	46	29	19	0		Hispanic	2	33	23	43	0		
	Asian/Pacific	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***		
	Native American	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***		
Status	Special Education	100	28	0	7	21	71	0	Status	Special Education	0	0	0	100	0		
	ELL*	100	120	4	47	38	11	0		ELL*	2	34	28	36	0		
	Low Income	****	175	5	47	29	18	0		Low Income	3	32	23	42	0		
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***		
All Students	2003-04	100	176	5	48	29	18	0	All Students	2003-04	3	32	23	41	0		
	2002-03	****	165	5	27	33	35	0		2002-03	2	21	21	55	2		
NM State	2003-04	98	25,110	12	44	28	16	1	NM State	2003-04	8	40	22	28	1		
	2002-03	****	25,108	12	39	27	21	1		2002-03	7	38	21	32	1		

*ELL - English Language Learners

ASSESSMENTS (continued)

Students in New Mexico schools are assessed in Reading and Mathematics in the 11th grade by the New Mexico High School Standards Assessment (NMHSSA) and the NM Alternative for Students with Disabilities. The NMHSSA was developed to measure the NM Standards and Benchmarks that educators and the public determined are important for our students to know and be able to do. The assessment results reported are for ALL students enrolled and participating in the testing during the 2003-04 school year. The assessments were administered during November 2003 and the information is reported by subgroups. Results for groups of less than 10 students are not reported and are indicated by ***. Percents may not add to 100, due to rounding.

11TH GRADE

READING		Percent Participating	Number Tested	Percent at Each Proficiency Level					MATHEMATICS		Percent at Each Proficiency Level				
				Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid			Advanced	Proficient	Nearing Proficiency	Beginning Step	Not Valid
Gender	Female	92	66	6	42	39	12	0	Gender	Female	0	34	54	12	0
	Male	90	55	0	22	42	36	0	Gender	Male	2	31	40	27	0
Ethnicity	Caucasian	88	7	***	***	***	***	***	Ethnicity	Caucasian	***	***	***	***	***
	African-American	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		African-American	***	***	***	***	***
	Hispanic	95	113	4	33	39	24	1		Hispanic	0	34	50	17	0
	Asian/Pacific	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Asian/Pacific	***	***	***	***	***
	Native American	100	1	***	***	***	***	***		Native American	***	***	***	***	***
Status	Special Education	100	59	2	34	36	29	0	Status	Special Education	2	27	42	29	0
	ELL*	98	96	2	30	45	22	1		ELL*	0	30	54	16	0
	Low Income	****	105	3	35	37	24	1		Low Income	1	32	47	20	0
	Migrant	****	0	***	***	***	***	***		Migrant	***	***	***	***	***
All Students	2003-04	95	122	3	33	40	23	1	All Students	2003-04	1	33	48	19	0
NM State	2003-04	95	20,246	18	37	30	13	2	NM State	2003-04	10	35	39	13	2

*ELL - English Language Learners

School Board Member Participation*

In order to meet the law, Board members must have accumulated five points during the year by attending specific training.

Board Member	Number of Points
Adams, Walter	8
Castellano,	6
Garcia, Ralph	7
Marquez, Patrick	8
Vigil, Michael	9

Source: 2003-04 New Mexico School Board Association annual report.

* In addition to the training required by the NM State Board Association, many school board members attend additional training. The information provided here does not reflect other training that school board members have received.

Data on District Expenditures

Includes state general fund operational monies only for 2003-2004.

	Expenditure	Percent
Direct Instruction	\$7,361,935	53
Instructional Support	\$3,004,911	22
Administration	\$382,408	3
Business and Support Services	\$392,703	3
Operations/Maintenance of Plant	\$2,138,918	15
Food Services	\$95,000	1
Athletics	\$339,755	2
Non-Instructional Student Support	\$89,289	1
Community Services	\$37,531	0
Transportation Services	\$0	0
Capital Outlay	\$0	0
Total	\$13,842,449	100

Source: End of year district-reported expenditures to NM Public Education Department School Budget office.

Teacher Quality Data*

Percent of Teachers Teaching with Emergency or Provisional Credentials: STATE WIDE: 4 % DISTRICT: 4 %

	Number of teachers	Percent of teachers where highest degree reported is a Bachelor's	Percent of teachers where degree reported is a Master's or Higher	Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*	
				Spring 2004	Fall 2004
====> STATE WIDE <====		59	38	71	75
DISTRICT WIDE	***	73	26	80	77
DON CECILIO MARTINEZ ES	15	80	20	88	81
LUIS E. ARMIJO ES	18	72	22	73	69
TONY SERNA JR. ES	17	71	29	63	76
UNION ES	9	89	11	88	83
VALLEY ES	11	100	0	92	73
VALLEY MS	9	78	22	85	90
W LAS VEGAS HS	33	64	36	100	88
W LAS VEGAS MS	26	62	35	69	65
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	12	75	25	***	***

* See explanation of data source on last page.

*** = missing or not available

Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

The Quality of Education Survey is provided to all parents statewide yearly by regulation. It consists of ten required questions by the NM Public Education Department.

- Q1. My child is safe at school.
- Q2. My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3. My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4. School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5. The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6. School staff maintains consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7. My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8. My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9. The school staff employs various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10. My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	No. of Valid Surveys	PERCENT OF RESPONSES THAT "STRONGLY AGREED" OR "AGREED"									
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10
STATE WIDE	125,583	85	80	84	82	77	80	69	87	80	88
====> DISTRICT WIDE <====	771	84	79	85	84	79	79	69	90	82	91
CONCHAS DAM ES	6	100	67	100	100	100	100	83	100	83	100
DON CECILIO MARTINEZ ES	111	88	88	85	88	83	79	70	89	84	89
LUIS E. ARMIJO ES	159	89	93	94	91	81	84	67	94	89	94
TONY SERNA JR. ES	121	94	92	85	83	88	81	67	93	85	93
UNION ES	105	85	58	91	90	80	80	66	90	84	92
VALLEY ES	67	72	57	73	89	64	80	67	90	81	94
VALLEY MS	19	79	32	79	84	53	89	74	95	89	74
W LAS VEGAS HS	100	73	90	72	61	75	68	78	80	64	86
W LAS VEGAS MS	62	82	84	85	74	79	71	76	84	77	89
WLV FAMILY PARTNERSHIP	20	80	20	95	100	90	85	50	95	75	95

Data Definitions and Explanations

Enrollment/AYP by Subgroup/Performance

ELL - English Language Learners, or students whose first language is a language other than English.

Migrant - Students whose parents/guardians are primarily employed in agriculture on a seasonal basis and establish temporary residence in NM.

FRLP - Students eligible for the free or reduced lunch program at their school.

No Child Left Behind District Summary - What happens if a school does not make AYP?

- After 1st year of not making AYP – the school, in partnership with its district and local community, will be encouraged to:
 - (a) perform a data analysis to determine why it did not make AYP,
 - (b) amend its Educational Plan for Student Success (EPSS), and
 - (c) further develop and implement strategies to improve student achievement. In addition, the Public Education Department (PED) will provide technical assistance, as requested, during this process.
- After 2nd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement I) – the school must develop an improvement plan and offer parents the option to choose a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 3rd Year of not making AYP (designated School Improvement II) – the school must provide supplemental education services (SES) such as after school programs, tutoring and summer services, based on budget availability. The school must also continue to offer school choice and provide transportation or pay the cost of transportation, based upon budget availability, for students who choose to enroll in a school that is not in School Improvement.
- After 4th Year of not making AYP (designated Corrective Action) – in addition to the requirements listed above the school and district must also implement one or more of the following: replace staff as allowed by law, implement a new curriculum, decrease management authority of the public school, appoint an outside expert to advise the public school, extend the school day or year, and change the public school's internal organizational structure.
- After 5th Year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring I) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must develop a plan including one or more of the following actions: re-open the public school as a charter school, replace all or most of the staff, as allowed by law, turn over the management of the public school to the PED, and make other governance changes.
- After 6th year of not making AYP (designated Restructuring II) – in addition to the requirements listed above, the school, district and PED must implement the plan developed in Restructuring I.

How can a school be removed from improvement status? By reaching AYP targets for two consecutive years.

Assessments - Proficiency Levels

Standards-based assessments are scored according to proficiency levels that are established by committees of teachers from across New Mexico. Every question/item on each test is evaluated and studied in order to specify knowledge, skills and abilities that students should have in order to correctly answer each question or item. Based upon how many items a student answers correctly, and how well they can justify their answers, a proficiency level is assigned. The proficiency levels are: Beginning, Nearing Proficient, Proficient and Advanced. Since the assessments are designed to measure how well students are achieving the New Mexico standards, students scoring at the Proficient or Advanced level are designated as having met the standards.

Teacher Quality Data

Data for the "Percent of teachers..." with Bachelor's degree or Master's degree and higher are obtained from the ADS 120th day Staff file submission for the 2003-04 school year. Data for the "Percent of core academic classes taught by Highly Qualified Teachers" comes from two reports:

For the Spring 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 120th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 11/24/2004.

For the Fall 2004 data, it is obtained from the ADS 40th day Highly Qualified Teacher report generated on 1/2/2005.

The PED has taken steps to ensure that free and reduced lunch data will be available and reported correctly on next year's report card.

Feeder School Methodology

Schools without students enrolled in the grades where standards-based tests were administered in the 2003-04 school year were evaluated based upon the performance of students tested in feeder schools e.g., those schools at the next higher grade level in the district.